Glasgow Math. J. 42 (2000) 31-35. C. Glasgow Mathematical Journal Trust 2000. Printed in the United Kingdom

## COMPACT TOEPLITZ OPERATORS WITH CONTINUOUS SYMBOLS ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

## TAKAHIKO NAKAZI\* and RIKIO YONEDA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

(Received 26 March, 1998)

**Abstract.** Let  $L^2_{\alpha}(D, d\sigma d\theta/2\pi)$  be a complete weighted Bergman space on the open unit disc D, where  $d\sigma$  is a positive finite Borel measure on [0, 1). We show the following : when  $\phi$  is a continuous function on the closed unit disc  $\overline{D}$ ,  $T_{\phi}$  is compact if and only if  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial D$ .

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B35, 47B07

Let D be the open unit disc and  $d\sigma$  a positive finite Borel measure on [0, 1). Let  $L_a^2 = L_a^2(D, d\sigma d\theta/2\pi)$  be a weighted Bergman space on D; that is,  $L_a^2$  consists of analytic functions f in D with

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \int_D |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\sigma d\theta/2\pi < \infty.$$

When  $L_a^2$  is closed, P denotes the orthogonal projection from  $L^2 = L^2(D, d\sigma d\theta/2\pi)$ onto  $L_a^2$ . For  $\phi$  in  $L^{\infty} = L^{\infty}(D, d\sigma d\theta/2\pi)$ , we consider the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\phi}: L_a^2 \to L_a^2$  defined by  $T_{\phi}f = P(\phi f), f \in L_a^2$ . We prove the following theorem in this paper. For the Bergman space (that is,  $d\sigma = 2rdr$ ), the Theorem is well known; see [5, p. 107] and [1]. When  $d\sigma = (1 - r^2)^{\alpha} dr(-1 < \alpha < \infty)$ , the Theorem is also true; see [3] and [4]. However, that argument does not work for the general situation. We need a new idea in order to prove the Theorem. Let H = H(D) denote the set of all analytic functions on D.

THEOREM. Suppose that  $L_a^2 = L_a^2(D, d\sigma d\theta/2\pi)$  is complete. When  $\phi$  is a continuous function on the closed unit disc  $D, T_{\phi}$  is compact if and only if  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial D$ .

In order to prove the Theorem, we need three lemmas.

LEMMA 1.  $L_a^2$  is complete if and only if  $\sigma([\varepsilon, 1)) > 0$  for some  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $a \in D$ , put

$$s(\mu, a) = \inf\left\{\int_D |f|^2 d\mu; f \in H \text{ and } f(a) = 1\right\},\$$

<sup>\*</sup>This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

where *H* is the set of all analytic functions on *D* and  $d\mu = d\sigma d\theta/2\pi$ . Statement (1) of Corollary 1 in [2] is valid for  $s(\mu, a)$  instead of  $S(\mu, a)$ . When  $(\text{supp}\mu) \cap D$  is a uniqueness set for *H*, by Statement (1) of Theorem 8 in [2],  $L_a^2$  is complete if and only if, for all compact sets *K* in *D*,  $\int_K \log s(\mu, a) r dr d\theta/\pi > -\infty$ . If  $\sigma$  is not a zero measure, then  $(\text{supp}\mu) \cap D$  is a uniqueness set for *H*. These statements suffice to prove the Lemma.

LEMMA 2. If  $\sigma([\varepsilon, 1)) > 0$  for every  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^{\varepsilon} r^n d\sigma}{\int_{\varepsilon}^1 r^n d\sigma} = 0 \quad (0 \le \varepsilon < 1).$$

*Proof.* When  $\delta$  is a positive constant with  $\varepsilon + \delta < 1$ , the following inequality holds.

$$\frac{\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^{n} d\sigma}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma} \leq \frac{\sigma([0,\varepsilon])}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^{n} d\sigma} \leq \frac{\sigma([0,\varepsilon])}{\int_{\varepsilon+\delta}^{1} \left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^{n} d\sigma} \leq \frac{\sigma([0,\varepsilon])}{\left(\frac{\varepsilon+\delta}{\varepsilon}\right)^{n} \sigma([\varepsilon+\delta,1])} \quad (0 < \varepsilon < 1).$$

Since they are positive and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \{(\varepsilon + \delta)/\varepsilon\}^n = \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \int_0^\varepsilon r^n d\sigma / \int_\varepsilon^1 r^n d\sigma \right) = 0.$$

LEMMA 3. If for every  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$ , we have

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^{n} d\sigma}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma} = 0,$$

...

then for any non-negative *l* 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^1 r^{n+\ell} d\sigma}{\int_0^1 r^n d\sigma} = 1$$

*Proof.* For every  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$ , the following inequality holds.

$$1 \ge \frac{\int_{0}^{1} r^{n+\ell} d\sigma}{\int_{0}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^{n+\ell} d\sigma + \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n+\ell} d\sigma}{\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^{n} d\sigma + \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma}$$
$$\ge \frac{\varepsilon^{\ell} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma + \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r^{n} d\sigma}$$
$$= \varepsilon^{\ell} \left(1 + \frac{\int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} r^{n} d\sigma}{\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma}\right)^{-1}$$

because  $\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma > 0$  and  $\ell \ge 0$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} r^{n+\ell} d\sigma}{\int_{0}^{1} r^{n} d\sigma} \ge \varepsilon^{\ell}$ . Let  $\varepsilon \to 1$  to prove the

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\phi(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_j(r)e^{ij\theta}$  is continuous on  $\bar{D}$ , where  $\phi_j(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(re^{i\theta})e^{-ij\theta}d\theta/2\pi$ 

for  $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ . Then  $\phi_j(r)$  is continuous on [0,1] for any j. Put

$$e_n(re^{i\theta}) = a_n r^n e^{in\theta}$$
$$= r^n e^{in\theta} / \sqrt{\int_0^1 r^{2n} d\theta}$$

for  $n \ge 0$ , then  $\{e_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2_a$ . For each *j*, put

$$\Phi_j(re^{i\theta}) = r^{|j|}e^{-ij\theta}\phi(re^{i\theta}).$$

Then  $T_{\Phi_j} = T_{r^{ij}e^{-ij\theta}}T_{\phi}$  for  $j \ge 0$  and  $T_{\Phi_j} = T_{\phi}T_{r^{ij}e^{-ij\theta}}$  for j < 0. If  $T_{\phi}$  is compact, then  $T_{\Phi_j}$  is also compact for any j. For each j, if  $n \ge 0$ , then

$$|\langle T_{\Phi_j}e_n, e_n\rangle| \leq ||T_{\Phi_j}e_n||_2 ||e_n||_2 = ||T_{\Phi_j}e_n||_2.$$

Since  $T_{\Phi_j}$  is compact for each j and  $e_n \to 0 (n \to \infty)$  weakly,  $||T_{\Phi_j}e_n||_2 \to 0 \ (n \to \infty)$ and so  $\langle T_{\Phi_j}e_n, e_n \rangle \to 0 \ (n \to \infty)$ . For each j,

$$\langle T_{\Phi_j} e_n, e_n \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \phi(re^{i\theta}) r^{|j|} e^{-ij\theta} a_n^2 r^{2n} d\sigma d\theta / 2\pi$$
$$= a_n^2 \int_0^1 \phi_j(r) r^{|j|+2n} d\sigma$$

and then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n^2 \int_0^1 \phi_j(r) r^{|j|+2n} d\sigma = 0$ . By Lemma 1,  $\sigma([\varepsilon, 1)) > 0$  for some  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$  and hence  $\sigma([\varepsilon, 1)) > 0$  for every  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Hence, by Lemma 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_0^{\varepsilon} r^{2n} d\sigma}{\int_{\varepsilon}^1 r^{2n} d\sigma} = 0 \text{ for } (0 \le \varepsilon < 1).$$

Then, by Lemma 3, for any integer j we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n^2 \int_0^1 r^{|j|+2n} d\sigma = 1.$$

Since  $\phi_j(r)$  is continuous on [0,1], we can approximate  $\phi_j(r)$  uniformly by polynomials  $\sum_{t=0}^{k} c_t r^t$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n^2 \int_0^1 r^{|j|+2n} d\sigma = 1$  for any *j*, we obtain  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n^2 \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{t=0}^k c_t r^t\right) r^{|j|+2n} d\sigma = \sum_{t=0}^k c_t$ 

and so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n^2\int_0^1\phi_j(r)r^{|j|+2n}d\sigma=\phi_j(1).$$

Thus  $\phi_j(1) = 0$  for any *j* because  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n^2 \int_0^1 \phi_j(r) r^{|j|+2n} d\sigma = 0$ , and hence  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial D$ .

Conversely suppose that  $\phi = 0$  on  $\partial D$ . Then we may assume that the support set of  $\phi$  is compact in D. In order to show the compactness of  $T_{\phi}$ , it is sufficient to show that if  $h_n \to 0$  weakly  $(n \to \infty)$  in  $L_a^2$  then  $h_n \to 0$  uniformly on supp  $\phi$ . By hypothesis on  $\sigma$ , any point  $z \in D$  has a bounded point evaluation for  $L_a^2$  because Statement (1) of Corollary 1 in [2] is valid for  $s(\mu, a)$  instead of  $S(\mu, a)$  and  $r(\mu, a)s(\mu, a) = 1(a \in D)$ . Hence  $h_n(z) \to 0$ . By the boundedness of analytic functions on supp  $\phi$  and the uniform boundedness principle,  $h_n \to 0$  uniformly on supp  $\phi$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. Axler and D. C. Zheng, Compact operators via the Berezin transform, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 47 (1998), 387-400.

2. T. Nakazi and M. Yamada, Riesz's functions in weighted Hardy and Bergman spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* 48 (1996), 930–945.
3. K. Stroethoff, Compact Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces, *Math. Proc. Cam-*

3. K. Stroethoff, Compact Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 124 (1998), 151–160.
4. K. Stroethoff, Compact Toeplitz operators on weighted harmonic Bergman spaces, J.

**4.** K. Stroetholl, Compact Toeplitz operators on weighted harmonic Bergman spaces, J. Australian Math. Soc. Ser. A **64** (1998), 136–148.

5. K. Zhu, Operator theory in function spaces (Dekker, New York, 1990).