

**No.170**

**Asymptotic cumulants of some  
information criteria**

**Haruhiko Ogasawara**

April 2015

Department of Information and Management Science  
Otaru University of Commerce



Discussion Paper, No.170, April, 2015, Center for Business Creation,  
Otaru University of Commerce, Otaru, Japan.

## **Asymptotic cumulants of some information criteria**

Haruhiko Ogasawara  
Otaru University of Commerce

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, No.23500341.  
Author's address: Department of Information and Management Science, Otaru University of Commerce, 3-5-21, Midori, Otaru 047-8501, Japan. Email: [hogasa@res.otaru-uc.ac.jp](mailto:hogasa@res.otaru-uc.ac.jp)

## **Asymptotic cumulants of some information criteria**

Asymptotic cumulants of the Akaike and Takeuchi information criteria are given under possible model misspecification up to the fourth order with the higher-order asymptotic variances, where two versions of the latter information criterion are defined using observed and estimated expected information matrices. The asymptotic cumulants are provided before and after studentization using the parameter estimates by the weighted score method, which include the maximum likelihood and Bayes modal estimators as special cases. Higher-order bias corrections of the criteria are derived using log-likelihood derivatives, which yields simple results for cases under canonical parametrization in the exponential family. The results are illustrated by three examples.

Keywords: Akaike information criterion; Takeuchi information criterion; Kullback-Leibler distance; canonical parameters; higher-order bias correction.

## 1. Introduction

Typical information criteria are given by Akaike (1973) and Takeuchi (1976), which are called the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Takeuchi information criterion (TIC), respectively. The criteria are used to assess the goodness of statistical models based on the Kullback-Leibler (1951) distance using the maximum likelihood estimators (MLEs) of associated parameters. In the AIC, it is assumed that a posited model holds or that a true model is a special case of the model employed. On the other hand in the TIC, possible model misspecification is considered. Stone (1977) derived the TIC in the context of cross validation. Linhart and Zucchini (1986, Proposition 2, Appendix A.2.1) also derived the TIC. For properties of the TIC, see Shibata (1989).

After the AIC and TIC were coined, information criteria with similar purposes have been introduced by e.g., Schwarz (1978; the Bayesian information criterion, BIC); Kishino and Hasegawa (1989), Ishiguro, Sakamoto and Kitagawa (1997; the extended information criterion, EIC), Shimodaira and Hasegawa (1999) for the methods using the bootstrap; Shibata (1989; the regularization information criterion, RIC) and, Konishi and Kitagawa (1996; the generalized information criterion, GIC; see also Konishi & Kitagawa, 2003; 2008, Chapters 5 to 8). In the RIC and GIC, the exclusive usage of the MLEs by the AIC and TIC was relaxed to cover e.g., robust and ridge-type estimators. For other information criteria, see Konishi and Kitagawa (2008) and Burnham and Anderson (2010).

The above information criteria are seen as point estimators of a corresponding population quantity with bias correction under correct model specification for the AIC and under possible model misspecification for the TIC, RIC and GIC. The population quantity is the so-called mean expected log-likelihood (Sakamoto, Ishiguro & Kitagawa, 1986, Equation (4.9)) associated with the Kullback-Leibler distance, where independent two-fold expectation is used one for data in the future for prediction and the other for current data for estimation with the same sample size denoted by  $n$ . When  $n$  increases, the population value increases proportionately in an asymptotic sense. On the other hand, the terms of bias correction are of order  $O(1)$  for the AIC and  $O_p(1)$  for the TIC, RIC and GIC. For tractability, divide the information criteria by  $n$  yielding quantities per observation as  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}$ . Then, the population value mentioned above is written symbolically

as  $O(1) + O(n^{-1})$  depending on  $n$ . The situation is somewhat different from that of typical parameter estimators as MLEs, where the population parameters usually do not depend on  $n$ . When  $n$  becomes infinitely large, the population value  $O(1) + O(n^{-1})$  for e.g.,  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}$  becomes  $O(1)$ , which is the expected log-likelihood averaged over observations, where the parameters are evaluated by their population values followed by expectation. The last population value of order  $O(1)$  is also of interest as well as that of  $O(1) + O(n^{-1})$ .

The bias correction of the TIC was extended to the higher-order version by Konishi and Kitagawa (2003), which gives a refined point estimator of the population counterpart. On the other hand, statistical testing of the difference of the information criteria for different models have been developed by Steiger, Shapiro and Browne (1985) and Shimodaira (1997) under local alternatives and by Linhart (1988), and Kishino and Hasegawa (1989) under fixed alternatives. Interval estimation of the corresponding population quantities can also be done in similar manners. While the above methods of testing and estimation is for general models, the results for special models are available for the higher-order bias correction by Sugiura (1978) and Yanagihara, Sekiguchi and Fujikoshi (2003) and the asymptotic cumulants for standardized estimators by Yanagihara and Ohmoto (2005).

One of the purposes of this study is to derive general expressions of the higher-order bias corrections of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}$  based on the parameter estimators by the weighted score method under possible model misspecification, where the expression is different from that of Konishi and Kitagawa (2003). The expression is given by the log-likelihood derivatives, which yields some transparent results for e.g., the cases of the natural exponential family. Note that Konishi and Kitagawa (2003) used the von Mises calculus (von Mises, 1947; Withers, 1983).

The second purpose is to give general formulas for the asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}$  up to the fourth order and the higher-order asymptotic variances before and after studentization for testing and interval estimation of the population quantities of interest. Three examples using basic distributions in statistics are shown. The first two examples of the exponential and normal distributions use MLEs under model misspecification, while the third example of the Bernoulli distribution uses the parameter estimators by the weighted score under correct model specification.

## 2. The higher-order asymptotic biases

Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  be a  $q \times 1$  vector of parameters in a statistical model with a  $p \times 1$  vector  $\mathbf{x}^*$  of observable variables. Then, the log-likelihood of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  based on  $n$  i.i.d. observations is denoted by

$$l \equiv l(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{X}^*) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n l_j \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n \log f(\mathbf{x}_j^* | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \equiv f(\mathbf{X}^* | \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}^*$  is a  $n \times p$  matrix whose rows  $(\mathbf{x}_j^*, j = 1, \dots, n)$  are independent copies of  $\mathbf{x}^*$  or their realizations for simplicity of notation, and  $f(\mathbf{x}_j^* | \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is the probability density/mass function for a posited statistical model. The log-likelihood averaged over observations is denoted by  $\bar{l} = n^{-1}l$ . Define

$$\hat{\bar{l}}_{\text{ML}} = \bar{l}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}} | \mathbf{X}^*) = \bar{l}\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ML}}(\mathbf{X}^*) | \mathbf{X}^*\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}}$  is the MLE of the corresponding population quantity  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ . Let  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{w}}$  be the vector of the parameter estimators by the weighted score method (WSEs) or the solution of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{X}^*)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} + n^{-1} \mathbf{q}^* = \mathbf{0}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{q}^* = \mathbf{q}^*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , a function of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , is a  $q \times 1$  weight vector, which becomes the log-prior derivatives in the case of Bayesian estimation but can be other general weights. Define

$$\hat{\bar{l}}_{\text{w}} = \bar{l}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{w}} | \mathbf{X}^*) = \bar{l}\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{w}}(\mathbf{X}^*) | \mathbf{X}^*\}, \quad (2.4)$$

whose special case is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}}$  in (2.2) when  $\mathbf{q}^* = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathbf{Z}^*$  be an independent copy of  $\mathbf{X}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}^*$  is interpreted as an independent data set in the future with the same sample size as  $n$  from the viewpoint of prediction. Define

$$\bar{l}_0^* = E_g\{\bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{Z}^*)\} = \int_{R(\mathbf{Z})} \bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{Z}) g(\mathbf{Z} | \zeta_0) d\mathbf{Z}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $g(\mathbf{Z} | \zeta_0)$  is the true density of  $\mathbf{Z}^*$  determined by the parameter vector  $\zeta_0$  of an appropriate size, and is possibly different from  $f(\mathbf{Z} | \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ . Equation (2.5) is to be

interpreted as the corresponding summation when  $g(\mathbf{Z}|\zeta_0)$  is a probability mass.

Similarly, define

$$\bar{l}_0 = \bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{X}^*) = O_p(1) \quad \text{with} \quad E_g(\bar{l}_0) = \bar{l}_0^* \quad (2.6)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \hat{l}_w^* = \int_{R(\mathbf{Z})} \bar{l}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w | \mathbf{Z}) g(\mathbf{Z} | \zeta_0) d\mathbf{Z} = \int_{R(\mathbf{Z})} \bar{l}\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_w(\mathbf{X}^*) | \mathbf{Z}\} g(\mathbf{Z} | \zeta_0) d\mathbf{Z} = O_p(1). \quad (2.7)$$

It is assumed that

$$-2E_g(\hat{l}_w - \hat{l}_w^*) = n^{-1}b_1 + n^{-2}b_2 + O(n^{-3}) \quad (2.8)$$

holds, where  $n^{-1}b_1$  and  $n^{-2}b_2$  are defined as the asymptotic biases up to order  $O(n^{-2})$

of  $-\hat{l}_w$  whose population counterpart is  $-2E_g(\hat{l}_w^*) = O(1)$  for the AIC and TIC with

$n^{-2}b_2$  being the higher-order added asymptotic bias.

In the following, we obtain an expression of  $b_2$  different from that of Konishi and Kitagawa (2003) with  $b_1$  being well known. For the expression, we use the formula of the expansion of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w = \boldsymbol{\theta}_w(\mathbf{X}^*)$  given by Ogasawara (2013, Equation (2.1) (see also 2015 for correction); 2014, Equation (2.4)):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 &= -n^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{j=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(j)} - n^{-1}(\hat{\mathbf{L}}_w^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{q}}_w^* - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*)_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + O_p(n^{-2}) \\ &= -n^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{j=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(j)} + n^{-1} \left[ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^* - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(1)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} E_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\{(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\}\mathbf{I}_0^{(1)} \right] + O_p(n^{-2}) \quad (2.9) \\ &\equiv -n^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{j=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(j)} + n^{-1}(\mathbf{I}_0^{(W)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + O_p(n^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = E_g(\partial^2 \bar{l} / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}' |_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0}) \equiv E_g(\partial^2 \bar{l} / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0') = O(1)$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_0^* = \mathbf{q}^*(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ ,

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)} = O(1), \mathbf{I}_0^{(j)} = O_p(n^{-j/2}) \quad (j=1, 2, 3), \hat{\mathbf{L}}_w = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w \partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w'}$$



$$\mathbf{q}_w^* = \mathbf{q}^*(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w), \mathbf{M} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} - \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = O_p(n^{-1/2}), \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}^*(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0},$$

$$\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} = \frac{\partial^3 \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 (\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<2>}}, \mathbf{x}^{<k>} = \mathbf{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x} \quad (k \text{ times of } \mathbf{x}), \otimes \text{ denotes the Kronecker}$$

product, and  $(\cdot)_{O_p(n^{-1/2})}$  indicates that  $(\cdot)$  is of order  $O_p(n^{-1/2})$  with other similar expressions.

The term  $\sum_{j=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(j)}$  in (2.9) (Ogasawara, 2010, Equation (2.4)) is given from the

following expansion:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(j)} + O_p(n^{-2}), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(1)} = -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)} = -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>}$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \} \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left[ \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>} \right\} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<3>},$$

$$\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)} \equiv \frac{\partial^4 \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 (\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<3>}}, \mathbf{I}_0^{(1)} = \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0},$$

$$\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} = \left\{ \mathbf{v}'(\mathbf{M}) \otimes \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'}, \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<2>} \right\}' \equiv (\mathbf{I}_0^{(2-1)}, \mathbf{I}_0^{(2-2)})' = O_p(n^{-1}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)} &= \left[ \mathbf{v}'(\mathbf{M})^{<2>} \otimes \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'}, \mathbf{v}'(\mathbf{M}) \otimes \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<2>} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \otimes \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<2>} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<3>} \right]' \\ &\equiv (\mathbf{I}_0^{(3-1)}, \mathbf{I}_0^{(3-2)}, \mathbf{I}_0^{(3-3)}, \mathbf{I}_0^{(3-4)})' = O_p(n^{-3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_0^{(2-j)} = O(1)$  ( $j=1,2$ ) and  $\mathbf{I}_0^{(3-j)} = O(1)$  ( $j=1,\dots,4$ ) are defined implicitly by

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2-j)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2-j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)} = \sum_{j=1}^4 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3-j)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3-j)}; \quad \mathbf{v}'(\mathbf{M})^{<2>} = [ \{ \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{M}) \} ]^{<2>}; \quad \mathbf{v}(\cdot)$$

is the vectorizing operator taking the non-duplicated elements of a symmetric matrix in parentheses; and  $\text{vec}(\cdot)$  is the vectorizing operator stacking the columns of a matrix sequentially.

Expand  $-2\hat{l}_w$  and  $-2\hat{l}_w^*$  as

$$-2\hat{l}_w = -2(\bar{l}_0)_{O_p(1)} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{1}{j!} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<j>}} \right\}_{O_p(1)} \{ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<j>} \}_{O_p(n^{-j/2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{and } -2\hat{l}_w^* = -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<j>}} \right\} \right]_{O(1)} \{ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<j>} \}_{O_p(n^{-j/2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}),$$

respectively. Then, recalling  $\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_0) = \bar{l}_0^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\mathbb{E}_g(\hat{l}_w - \hat{l}_w^*) \\
& = -2\mathbb{E}_g \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{1}{j!} \left[ \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<j>}} - \mathbb{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<j>}} \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<j>} \right] + O(n^{-3}) \\
& = -2\mathbb{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \right\}_{\rightarrow O(n^{-2})} - \mathbb{E}_g \{ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<2>} \}_{\rightarrow O(n^{-2})} \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{E}_g \{ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbb{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}))(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<3>} \}_{\rightarrow O(n^{-2})} + O(n^{-3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where the term of  $j = 4$  in  $\sum_{j=1}^4 (\cdot)$  of (2.11), when the expectation is taken, is absorbed in the remainder term of order  $O(n^{-3})$ ; and  $\mathbb{E}_g(\cdot)_{\rightarrow O(n^{-2})}$  indicates that the expectation is taken up to order  $O(n^{-2})$ .

Let  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = n\mathbb{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)$ . When the model is true,  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = -\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \mathbf{I}_0$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_0$  is the

population Fisher information matrix per observation. Under possible model misspecification, the last three expectations in (2.12) are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\mathbb{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \right\} \\
& = -2\mathbb{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} (-n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{j=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(j)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(j)} + n^{-1} \mathbf{I}_0^{(w)}) \right\} \\
& = \left\{ 2\mathbb{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \right\}_{O(n^{-1})} - \left\{ 2\mathbb{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} \right) \right\}_{O(n^{-2})} \\
& \quad - \left\{ 2\mathbb{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)} \right) \right\}_{O(n^{-2})} - \left\{ 2\mathbb{E}_g \left( n^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \mathbf{I}_0^{(w)} \right) \right\}_{O(n^{-2})} + O(n^{-3})
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbf{E}_g \{ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \} \\
&= -\mathbf{E}_g \left[ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left\{ 2(-n^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) + \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2 \left( -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} \right] \\
&= -n^{-2} \left[ \underset{(A)}{2 \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*)_a \lambda^{bc} n \text{cov}_g \left( m_{ab}, \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right)} + \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q \lambda^{ac} \lambda^{bd} n^2 \mathbf{E}_g \left( m_{ab} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0d}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q \sum_{d \geq e} \sum_{f=1}^q (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2-1)})_{(b:de, f)} \lambda^{ac} \left\{ \sum_{(c,f)}^2 n \text{cov}_g \left( m_{ab}, \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right) n \text{cov}_g \left( m_{de}, \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0f}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + n \text{cov}_g(m_{ab}, m_{de}) \gamma_{cf} \right\} \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{a,b,c,d,e=1}^q (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2-2)})_{(b:d,e)} \lambda^{ac} \sum_{(c,d,e)}^3 n \text{cov}_g \left( m_{ab}, \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right) \gamma_{de} \right] + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\equiv n^{-2} c_2 + O(n^{-3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{E}_g \{ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}))(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \} \\
&= -\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{E}_g \left[ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})) \left( -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \right] + O(n^{-3}) \\
&= n^{-2} \sum_{a,b,c,d,e,f=1}^q \lambda^{ad} \lambda^{be} \lambda^{cf} n \text{cov}_g \left\{ (\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})_{(a,b,c)}, \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0d}} \right\} \gamma_{ef} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\equiv n^{-2} c_3 + O(n^{-3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\lambda^{bc} = (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1})_{bc}$ . Then, from (2.13) to (2.15),

**Theorem 1.** Under (2.8) with regularity conditions for (2.9) and (2.10), the asymptotic biases  $n^{-1}b_1$  and  $n^{-2}b_2$  of  $-2\hat{l}_w^*$  up to order  $O(n^{-2})$ , based on the WSE  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w$  derived by the estimation equation of (2.3), are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\mathbf{E}_g(\hat{l}_w - \hat{l}_w^*) \\
&= n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) + n^{-2}(c_1 + c_2 + c_3) + O(n^{-3}) = n^{-1}b_1 + n^{-2}b_2 + O(n^{-3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  are obtained by (2.13) to (2.15), respectively.

From (2.13) to (2.15), we find that  $b_1$  and  $c_3$  do not depend on  $\mathbf{q}_0^*$  and are common to the results by the MLE  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}}$  and the WSE  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{W}}$  while  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  depend on  $\mathbf{q}_0^*$ . A considerably simplified result is obtained in the following case.

**Corollary 1.** *When the vector of canonical parameters in the exponential family of distributions is used under possible model misspecification,*

$$-2\mathbf{E}_g(\hat{l}_{\text{W}} - \hat{l}_{\text{W}}^*) = n^{-1}b_1 + n^{-2}c_1 + O(n^{-3}) \quad \text{with } b_2 = c_1 \quad \text{and } c_2 = c_3 = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $c_1$  is simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} -2\mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{W}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \right\} &= 2n^{-1} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \\ -2n^{-2} \left[ \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2-2)})_{(c:a,b)} n^2 \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0a}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0b}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3-4)})_{(d:a,b,c)} (\gamma_{ab} \gamma_{cd} + \gamma_{ac} \gamma_{bd} + \gamma_{ad} \gamma_{bc}) \\ &\quad \left. + \text{tr} \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_0^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \right) - \text{tr} \left[ \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}) \} \right] \right] + O(n^{-3}) \\ &= n^{-1}b_1 + n^{-2}c_1 + O(n^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

*Proof.* Under canonical parametrization in the exponential family, it is known that

$$\frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<j>}} = \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{<j>}} \right\} \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots), \quad \text{which gives } c_1 \text{ of (2.18) from (2.13) with } \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{O}$$

and  $\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) = \mathbf{O}$ . The results of  $c_2 = c_3 = 0$  are derived similarly from (2.14) and (2.15) with  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{O}$  and  $\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) = \mathbf{O}$ , respectively. Q.E.D.

In the case of the MLE, the two terms associated with  $\mathbf{q}_0^*$  in (2.18) vanish and recalling (2.10) for  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2-2)}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3-4)}$  in  $c_1$  of (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= -2 \left\{ \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q (\Lambda^{(2-2)})_{(c:a,b)} n^2 \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0a}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0b}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q (\Lambda^{(3-4)})_{(d:a,b,c)} (\gamma_{ab} \gamma_{cd} + \gamma_{ac} \gamma_{bd} + \gamma_{ad} \gamma_{bc}) \right\} \\
&= -2 \left[ \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} (\Lambda^{-1})^{<2>} \right\}_{(c:a,b)} n^2 \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0a}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0b}} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q \frac{1}{2} (\Lambda^{-1})_{d\Box} \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} \left[ (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box a} \otimes [\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} \{ (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box b} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box c} \}] \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (\gamma_{ab} \gamma_{cd} + \gamma_{ac} \gamma_{bd} + \gamma_{ad} \gamma_{bc}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q \frac{1}{6} \{ \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{J}_0^{(4)} (\Lambda^{-1})^{<2>} \}_{(d:a,b,c)} 3 \gamma_{ab} \gamma_{cd} \right] \\
&= -\text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \otimes n^2 \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \left( -\Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<3>} \right\} + \text{vec}'(\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1}) \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)'} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} \text{vec}(\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1}) \\
&\quad + 2 \text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1})^{<2>} \} \text{vec}(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) - \text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \otimes \text{vec}\{(\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1})^{<2>}\}, \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(\cdot)_{d\Box}$  is the  $d$ -th row of a matrix and  $(\cdot)_{\Box a}$  is the  $a$ -th column of a matrix.

Under correct model specification and canonical parametrization, since

$\partial l_j / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = \mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{E}_f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  and  $-\Lambda = \Gamma = \mathbf{I}_0$ , (2.19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\mathbf{x}^*) \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3} \left( \mathbf{I}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) - \text{vec}'(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1}) \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)'} \mathbf{I}_0^{-1} \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1}) \\
&\quad - 2 \text{vec}'(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) (\mathbf{I}_0^{-1})^{<3>} \text{vec}(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) + \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f4}'(\mathbf{x}^*) \text{vec}\{(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1})^{<2>}\} \\
&= \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*) \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3} \left( \mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) - \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*) [\mathbf{I}_{(q)} \otimes \{ \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q)}) \text{vec}'(\mathbf{I}_{(q)}) \}] \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*) \\
&\quad - 2 \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*) \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*) + \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f4}'(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*) \text{vec}\{(\mathbf{I}_{(q)})^{<2>}\} \\
&= \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) - \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) [\mathbf{I}_{(q)} \otimes \{ \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q)}) \text{vec}'(\mathbf{I}_{(q)}) \}] \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \\
&\quad - 2 \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) + \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f4}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q^2)}) \\
&= -\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) - \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) [\mathbf{I}_{(q)} \otimes \{ \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q)}) \text{vec}'(\mathbf{I}_{(q)}) \}] \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) + \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f4}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q^2)}),
\end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is the  $q \times 1$  vector of observable variables associated with the minimum

sufficient statistics ( $p = q$ );  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* = \mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}^*$ ;  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{fj}(\cdot)$  is the  $q^j \times 1$  vector of the  $j$ -th

multivariate cumulants of a  $q \times 1$  random vector in parentheses using the distribution  $f(\mathbf{x}^* | \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$  for  $\mathbf{x}^*$ ; for  $l_j (j=1, \dots, n)$  see (2.1);  $\mathbf{I}_0^{1/2}$  is a non-negative definite symmetric matrix-square-root of  $\mathbf{I}_0$  with  $\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} = (\mathbf{I}_0^{1/2})^{-1}$  under the assumption of its existence; and  $\mathbf{I}_{(q)}$  is the  $q \times q$  identity matrix.

Under correct model specification, since  $\text{cov}_f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{I}_0$  due to canonical parametrization,  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*$  is the vector of standardized variables with  $\text{cov}_f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) = \text{cov}_f\left(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1/2} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}\right) \equiv \text{cov}_f\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{l}_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}\right) = \mathbf{I}_{(q)}$ , where  $\text{cov}_f(\cdot)$  is the exact covariance matrix using  $f(\mathbf{x}^* | \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ . Then,  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\partial \tilde{l}_j / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) (= \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*))$  are seen as  $q^3 \times 1$  vectors of the multivariate skewnesses of  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*$  and  $\partial \tilde{l}_j / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ , respectively. Similarly,  $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f4}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)$  is seen as a  $q^4 \times 1$  vector of the multivariate kurtoses of  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*$ . In the univariate case, (2.20) becomes the sum of  $-2$  times the squared skewness and the excess kurtosis.

Similarly, under correct model specification,  $b_1$  in the asymptotic bias of order  $O(n^{-1})$  in (2.18) is also written as

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= 2\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}) = -2q = -2\text{vec}'(\mathbf{I}_0)\text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1}) = -2\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f2}'(\mathbf{x}^*)\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f3}\left(\mathbf{I}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}\right) \\ &= -2\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f2}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f2}\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{l}_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}\right) = -2\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f2}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{f2}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The above results give

**Corollary 2.** *Under correct model specification and canonical parametrization in the exponential family, when the multivariate skewnesses and kurtoses of the associated observable variables are zero, the MLE gives*

$$-2E_f(\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{ML}} - \hat{\mathbf{l}}_{\text{ML}}^*) = -n^{-1}2q + O(n^{-3}) \quad (b_1 = -2q, b_2 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0) \quad (2.22)$$

where  $E_f(\cdot)$  is defined using  $f(\mathbf{x}^* | \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$  similarly to  $E_g(\cdot)$ .

This can happen, for example, when the covariance matrix in the multivariate normal distribution is known, where the vector of canonical parameters is the mean vector. Since



$$\mathbf{J}_0^{(j)} \equiv \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 (\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<j-1>}} = \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 (\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<j-1>}} \right\} (j = 2, 3, \dots) \text{ under canonical parametrization,}$$

the asymptotic expansion using the MLE corresponding to (2.12) higher than (2.12) is given

only by the first term  $-2\mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \right\}$ , which is also given only by

$$-2\mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \left( -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \text{ and } -2\mathbf{E}_g \{h(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}, \mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}, \dots)\}, \text{ where } h(\cdot) \text{ is the sum of}$$

multiplicative functions of the powers of the arguments. Then, we have

**Corollary 3.** *When the covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  of the  $q$ -variate normal distribution is known, the MLE (the usual sample mean vector  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ ) of the population mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$  under possible model misspecification gives*

$$-2\mathbf{E}_g (\hat{l}_{\text{ML}} - \hat{l}_{\text{ML}}^*) = -n^{-1} 2q \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. In the only non-vanishing term  $-2\mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \right\}$  for the expansion of

$$\text{the left-hand side of (2.23), } -2\mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \left( -\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} = -2\text{tr} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \right\}$$

$= -n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = -n^{-1} 2q$  under arbitrary distributions as long as  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$  exist. The

remaining terms  $-2\mathbf{E}_g \{h(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}, \mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}, \dots)\}$  vanish when we use the normal distribution even

under non-normality since  $\mathbf{J}_0^{(j)} = \mathbf{O}$  ( $j = 3, 4, \dots$ ) in this case. Q.E.D.

Note that there is no remainder term in (2.23). An alternative direct proof of Corollary 3 is given as follows. Let  $\mathbf{z}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) be independent copies of  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{z}^*}(\cdot)$  denote an expectation over the distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}^*$  or  $\mathbf{z}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} -2\hat{l}_{\text{ML}}^* &= -2\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{z}^*} \left[ -\frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbf{z}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{z}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \frac{1}{2} \log \{ (2\pi)^q |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \} \right] \\ &= \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \log \{ (2\pi)^q |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \} \\ &= q + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \log \{ (2\pi)^q |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

which gives  $-2E_g(\hat{l}_{ML}^*) = (1+n^{-1})q + \log\{(2\pi)^q | \Sigma |\}$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} -2E_g(\hat{l}_{ML}) &= -2E_g \left[ -\frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_j - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \frac{1}{2} \log\{(2\pi)^q | \Sigma |\} \right] \\ &= (1-n^{-1})\text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}\Sigma) + \log\{(2\pi)^q | \Sigma |\} \\ &= (1-n^{-1})q + \log\{(2\pi)^q | \Sigma |\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Consequently, (2.24) and (2.25) yield  $-2E_g(\hat{l}_{ML} - \hat{l}_{ML}^*) = -n^{-1}2q$ .

### 3. Bias correction for the AIC and TIC

Define

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W &= -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}2q, \\ n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(1)} &= -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}\text{tr}(-\hat{\mathbf{L}}_W^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_W) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{and } n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(2)} = -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}\text{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)-1}\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(\Gamma)}) \text{ with } \hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)-1} = (\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)})^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_W &= \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W \partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W'}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_W = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W'}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)} = \left\{ -E_g \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right) \right\}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W} \text{ and} \\ \hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(\Gamma)} &= \left\{ E_g \left( \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right) \right\}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

When the MLE is used, the subscript W in (3.1) becomes ML with  $\text{AIC}_{ML} = \text{AIC}$  (the usual AIC),  $\text{TIC}_{ML}^{(j)} = \text{TIC}^{(j)}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ). The original definition of the Takeuchi information criterion (Takeuchi, 1976, Equation (15)) denoted by  $\text{TIC}_{ML} = \text{TIC}$  seems to be  $\text{TIC}_{ML}^{(2)} = \text{TIC}^{(2)}$  in (3.1), while the definition of the TIC by Linhart and Zucchini (1986, p.245), Konishi and Kitagawa (2008, p.60) and Burnham and Anderson (2010, Subsection 7.3.1) is  $\text{TIC}_{ML}^{(1)} = \text{TIC}^{(1)}$  in (3.1). The two matrices  $-\hat{\mathbf{L}}_W$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_W$  are observed information matrices given by  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W$  and  $\mathbf{X}^*$ , which are estimators of  $-\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively, and become the estimators of  $\mathbf{I}_0$  under correct model specification. The two matrices  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(\Gamma)}$  are also estimators of  $-\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively, and are the

expected information matrices followed by estimation using  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{w}}$  without  $\mathbf{X}^*$  except in  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{X}^*)$ . Since it is often difficult to derive the expectation  $E_g(\cdot)$  in (3.2) when  $g(\mathbf{x}^* | \boldsymbol{\zeta}_0)$  is unknown,  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}$  is of practical use though  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(1)}$  is more complicated than  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(2)}$ . The remaining combinations  $n^{-1}\text{tr}(-\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\Gamma)})$  and  $n^{-1}\text{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(-\Lambda)-1}\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{w}})$  for the correction term are not dealt with in this paper.

The higher order bias correction of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{w}}$  is meaningless under model misspecification since the term  $n^{-1}2q$  for bias correction is incorrect and should be replaced by that of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\square)}$  which stands generically for  $\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(j)}(j=1,2)$ . Consequently, this reduces to the higher-order bias correction of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\square)}$  and will be dealt with later.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that a statistical model holds. Then, under regularity conditions, define*

$$n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})} \equiv n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{w}} - n^{-2}\hat{b}_2 = -2\hat{l}_{\mathbf{w}} + n^{-1}2q - n^{-2}(\hat{c}_1 + \hat{c}_2 + \hat{c}_3). \quad (3.3)$$

Then,  $E_f(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{w} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})} + 2\hat{l}_{\mathbf{w}}^*) = O(n^{-3})$ , where  $\hat{c}_1$ ,  $\hat{c}_2$  and  $\hat{c}_3$  are consistent estimators of  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_3$ , respectively.

In some special cases,  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} (= n^{-1}\text{AIC})$  gives the same result as that of Theorem 2 i.e.,  $E_f(n^{-1}\text{AIC} + 2\hat{l}_{\text{ML}}^*) = O(n^{-3})$ . When the multivariate skewnesses and kurtoses of the associated observable variables are zero, from Corollary 2 we have this result. Similarly, when the covariance matrix of the multivariate normal distribution is known, Corollary 3 using the MLE of the mean vector gives the exact result  $E_g(n^{-1}\text{AIC} + 2\hat{l}_{\text{ML}}^*) = 0$  even under non-normality.

For  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(\square)}$ , under possible model misspecification, define stochastic  $\text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(\text{T}j)}$  and  $\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(\text{T}j)}$  in the expansions of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{w}}^{(j)}(j=1,2)$  as follows.

**Definition 1.**

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(1)} &= -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}2\text{tr}(-\hat{\mathbf{L}}_W^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_W) \\
&= -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}2\text{tr}(-\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}) + 2(n^{-1}\text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(T1)})_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} + 2(n^{-1}\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(T1)})_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(2)} &= -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}2\text{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)^{-1}}\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_W) \\
&= -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}2\text{tr}(-\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}) + 2(n^{-1}\text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(T2)})_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} + 2(n^{-1}\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(T2)})_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For (3.4), let  $\mathbf{L}_0 = \left( \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)_{O_p(1)}$ , then define stochastic  $-\mathbf{\Lambda}_M^{-1(\Delta)}$  and  $-\mathbf{\Lambda}_M^{-1(\Delta\Delta)}$  as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\hat{\mathbf{L}}_W^{-1} &= -\mathbf{L}_0^{-1} + \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j} \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^q \left\{ -\mathbf{L}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j} \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k} \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j \partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k} \mathbf{L}_0^{-1} \right\} \\
&\quad \times (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_W - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k + O_p(n^{-3/2}) \\
&= -\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} + \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \\
&\quad + (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^q \left[ \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j} \right) + \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j} - \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j} \right) \right\} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left( -\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} - n^{-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} \right)_j \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^q \left\{ -\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j} \right) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k} \right) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g \left( \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{L}_0}{\partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j \partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k} \right) \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \right\} \\
&\quad \times \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_k + O_p(n^{-3/2})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} + \left[ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \\
&+ \left[ \begin{aligned} &-\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} + \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \\ &+ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}) \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \{ \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \} \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \\ &+ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes (-n^{-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\} \end{aligned} \right]_{(A) O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}) \\
&\equiv -\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} + (-\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1(\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + (-\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1(\Delta\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Similarly, define stochastic  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{M}}^{(\Delta)}$  and  $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{M}}^{(\Delta\Delta)}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{G} &\equiv \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \equiv \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{X}^*) \equiv \left( n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial l_j}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right)_{O_p(1)}, \quad \mathbf{G}_0 \equiv \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \equiv \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \mathbf{X}^*), \\
\mathbf{G}_0 &= \mathbf{\Gamma} + (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})}, \quad \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_0) = \mathbf{\Gamma}, \quad \mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)} = \partial \mathbf{G}_0 / \partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j, \\
\mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)} &= \partial^2 \mathbf{G}_0 / \partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j \partial (\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, q), \\
\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{W}} &= \mathbf{G}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{W}}, \mathbf{X}^*) = (\mathbf{G}_0)_{O_p(1)} + (\hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathbf{W}} - \mathbf{G}_0)_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \\
&= \mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}} + \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{W}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{W}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_j (\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{W}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)_k + O_p(n^{-3/2}) \\
&= \mathbf{\Gamma} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}} + \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)} \left( -n^{-1} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^2 \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} \right)_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(1)})_j (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(1)})_k \\
&\quad + O_p(n^{-3/2})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Gamma + \left\{ \mathbf{M}_G - \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \right\}_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \\
&+ \left[ \sum_{j=1}^q \{ \mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) \} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j + \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) (-n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{l}_0^{(2)})_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_k \right]_{(A)O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}) \\
&\equiv \Gamma + (\Gamma_M^{(\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + (\Gamma_M^{(\Delta\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.6) and (3.7), we have

**Lemma 1.** *The stochastic correction term in (3.4) of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(1)}$  in Definition 1 is expanded as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(-\hat{\mathbf{L}}_W^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_W) \\
&= n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma) + 2(n^{-1} \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(\text{T1})})_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} + 2(n^{-1} \text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(\text{T1})})_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \\
&\equiv n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma) + 2\{n^{-1} \text{tr}(-\Lambda_M^{-1(\Delta)} \Gamma - \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma_M^{(\Delta)})\}_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\
&\quad + 2\{n^{-1} \text{tr}(-\Lambda_M^{-1(\Delta)} \Gamma_M^{(\Delta)} - \Lambda_M^{-1(\Delta\Delta)} \Gamma - \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma_M^{(\Delta\Delta)})\}_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the stochastic quantities are given by (3.6) and (3.7).

For (3.5) of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(2)}$ , define stochastic  $-\Lambda_I^{-1(\Delta)}$ ,  $-\Lambda_I^{-1(\Delta\Delta)}$ ,  $\Gamma_I^{(\Delta)}$  and  $\Gamma_I^{(\Delta\Delta)}$  by omitting terms with  $\mathbf{M}$ ,  $\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_G$  and  $\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)})$  in (3.6) and (3.7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{I}}_W^{(-\Lambda)-1} &= -\Lambda^{-1} - \left[ \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \\
&+ \left[ \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes (-n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{l}_0^{(2)}) \right\} - \Lambda^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right] \right]_{(A)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\} \right]_{(A)O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}) \\
&\equiv -\Lambda^{-1} + (-\Lambda_I^{-1(\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + (-\Lambda_I^{-1(\Delta\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

(3.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(\Gamma)} &= \Gamma - \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \right\}_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) (-n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_k \right]_{O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}) \\
&\equiv \Gamma + (\mathbf{\Gamma}_1^{(\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + (\mathbf{\Gamma}_1^{(\Delta\Delta)})_{O_p(n^{-1})} + O_p(n^{-3/2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we have

**Lemma 2.** *The stochastic correction term in (3.5) of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(2)}$  in Definition 1 is*

*expanded as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(-\Lambda)-1} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(\Gamma)}) \\
&= n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma) + 2(n^{-1} \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(\text{T2})})_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} + 2(n^{-1} \text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(\text{T2})})_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \\
&\equiv n^{-1} 2\text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma) + 2\{n^{-1} \text{tr}(-\Lambda_1^{-1(\Delta)} \Gamma - \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma_1^{(\Delta)})\}_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\
&\quad + 2\{n^{-1} \text{tr}(-\Lambda_1^{-1(\Delta)} \Gamma_1^{(\Delta)} - \Lambda_1^{-1(\Delta\Delta)} \Gamma - \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma_1^{(\Delta\Delta)})\}_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where the stochastic quantities are given by (3.9) and (3.10).

For the bias correction of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(1)}$  (see (3.4) of Definition 1), we derive the expectations of the two stochastic terms  $2(\text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(\text{T1})})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})}$  and  $2(\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(\text{T1})})_{O_p(n^{-1})}$ , where the former expectation becomes  $2\mathbf{E}_g(\text{tr}_{\Delta}^{(\text{T1})}) = 2\mathbf{E}_g\{\text{tr}(-\Lambda_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1(\Delta)} \Gamma - \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathbf{M}}^{(\Delta)})\} = 0$  (see (3.8) of Lemma 1) since  $\mathbf{E}_g(-\Lambda_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1(\Delta)}) = \mathbf{E}_g(\Gamma_{\mathbf{M}}^{(\Delta)}) = \mathbf{O}$  by construction. The latter expectation (see (3.8)) is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_g \{2(\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(T1)})\} \\
&= 2\mathbf{E}_g \{ \text{tr}(-\Lambda_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1(\Delta)} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{M}}^{(\Delta)} - \Lambda_{\mathbf{M}}^{-1(\Delta\Delta)} \mathbf{\Gamma} - \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\mathbf{M}}^{(\Delta\Delta)}) \} \\
&= n^{-1} 2\mathbf{E}_g \text{tr} \left[ \underset{(A)}{n} \left[ \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{M} \Lambda^{-1} - \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \right] \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right] \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}} - \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \right\} \\
&+ n \left[ \underset{(B)}{-\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{M} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{M} \Lambda^{-1} + \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{M} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})} \right] \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ (\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{M} \Lambda^{-1}) \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} - \Lambda^{-1} \{ \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \} \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes (-n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} - \Lambda^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \left\{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\} \right] \underset{(B)}{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \\
&- n \Lambda^{-1} \left[ \underset{(C)}{-\sum_{j=1}^q \{ \mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) \} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j} + \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) (-n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})_j} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_k \right] \underset{(C)}{\quad} \underset{(A)}{\quad} \\
&= n^{-1} 2 \left[ \underset{(A)}{\text{vec}'(\Lambda^{-1}) n \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}} \otimes \mathbf{M}) \text{vec}(\Lambda^{-1})} \right. \\
&\quad - \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q (\Lambda^{-1})_{a \square} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\Lambda^{-1})_{\square b} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\square c} \} n \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} (\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{G}})_{ba} \right\} \\
&\quad - \sum_{a,b,c,d,e=1}^q \lambda^{ac} \lambda^{db} \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)})_{ab} \lambda^{je} n \mathbf{E}_g \left( m_{cd} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0e}} \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q (\Lambda^{-1})_{a \square} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\Lambda^{-1})_{\square b} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\square c} \} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)})_{ab} \lambda^{jd} \gamma_{cd} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[ \begin{aligned} & - \text{vec}'(\Lambda^{-1}) n \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{M}^{<2>}) \text{vec}(\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1}) \\ & + 2 \sum_{a,b,c=1}^q (\Lambda^{-1})_{a\Box} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1})_{\Box b} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box c} \} n \mathbf{E}_g \left( m_{ab} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0c}} \right) \\ & - \sum_{a=1}^q \text{tr} \left[ n \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \{ \mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0a}} \right\} \{ (\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1}) \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box a} \} \right] \\ & + \text{tr}[\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1}) \otimes \mathbf{a}_{w1} \}] \\ & - \sum_{a,b=1}^q \text{tr}[\Gamma \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box a} \} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ \Lambda^{-1} \otimes (\Lambda^{-1})_{\Box b} \}] \gamma_{ab} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \text{vec}' \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \{ \text{vec}(\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1}) \}^{<2>} \end{aligned} \right]_{(B)} \\
& - \left[ \begin{aligned} & - \sum_{a,b=1}^q \text{tr} n \mathbf{E}_g \left[ \Lambda^{-1} \{ \mathbf{G}_{0(a)}^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(a)}^{(3)}) \} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \theta_{0b}} \right] \lambda^{ab} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^q \text{tr} \{ \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) (\mathbf{a}_{w1})_j \} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \text{tr} \{ \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)}) (\Lambda^{-1} \Gamma \Lambda^{-1})_{jk} \} \end{aligned} \right]_{(C)} \left. \vphantom{\sum_{j,k=1}^q} \right]_{(A)} \\
& \equiv n^{-1} d^{(T1)},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $n^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{w1} \equiv -n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \mathbf{E}_g(\Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})$  is the vector of the asymptotic biases of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_w$  up to order  $O(n^{-1})$  under possible model misspecification.

For  $n^{-1} \text{TIC}_W^{(2)}$  (see (3.5) of Definition 1), similarly we have

$$2 \mathbf{E}_g(\text{tr}_{\Lambda}^{(T2)}) = 2 \mathbf{E}_g \{ \text{tr}(-\Lambda_1^{-1(\Delta)} \Gamma - \Lambda^{-1} \Gamma_1^{(\Delta)}) \} = 0 \text{ by construction and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}_g \{2(\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(T2)})\} \\
&= 2\mathbf{E}_g \{ \text{tr}(-\mathbf{\Lambda}_I^{-1(\Delta)}\mathbf{\Gamma}_I^{(\Delta)} - \mathbf{\Lambda}_I^{-1(\Delta\Delta)}\mathbf{\Gamma} - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}_I^{(\Delta\Delta)}) \} \\
&= n^{-1}2\mathbf{E}_g \text{tr} \left[ \underset{(A)}{n} \left[ -\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \left\{ -\sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_j \right\} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \underset{(B)}{n} \left[ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes (-n^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^* + \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \left[ \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\} \right] \underset{(B)}{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \right] \underset{(A)}{-n^{-1}2\mathbf{E}_g \{ \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}_I^{(\Delta\Delta)}) \} } \\
&= n^{-1}2 \left[ \underset{(A)}{\sum_{a,b,c,d=1}^q (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{a\Box} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{\Box b} \otimes (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{\Box c} \} \sum_{j=1}^q \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)})_{ab} \lambda^{jd} \gamma_{cd}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \underset{(B)}{\text{tr}[\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}) \otimes \mathbf{a}_{w1} \}]} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{a,b=1}^q \text{tr}[\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{\Box a} \} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{\Box b} \} \gamma_{ab}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \text{vec}' \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \{ \text{vec}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}) \}^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right] \underset{(B)}{} \\
&\quad - \left[ \underset{(C)}{\sum_{j=1}^q \text{tr} \{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j)}^{(3)}) (\mathbf{a}_{w1})_j \} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^q \text{tr} \{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{G}_{0(j,k)}^{(4)}) \} (\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})_{jk}} \right] \underset{(C)}{} \underset{(A)}{} \\
&\equiv n^{-1}d^{(T2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

The higher-order bias corrections of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}$  ( $j=1,2$ ) are given as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Under possible model misspecification and some regularity conditions, define*

$$n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{W \rightarrow O(n^{-2})}^{(j)} \equiv n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} - n^{-2}(\hat{b}_2 + \hat{d}^{(Tj)}) \quad (j=1,2), \tag{3.14}$$

where  $\hat{b}_2$  and  $\hat{d}^{(Tj)}$  are consistent estimators of  $b_2 (= c_1 + c_2 + c_3)$  and  $d^{(Tj)}$ . Then,

$$E_g(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{W} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})}^{(j)} + 2\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}^*) = O(n^{-3}).$$

#### 4. Asymptotic cumulants

In Section 2, the bias of  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}$  was defined as  $-2E_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}} - \hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}^*)$  (see (2.8)) with the definitions of  $\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}$  and  $\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}^*$  by (2.4) and (2.7), respectively. In this section, the asymptotic cumulants of  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}} (= -2\bar{l}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathbf{W}}, \mathbf{X}^*) = -2\bar{l}\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}^*), \mathbf{X}^*\})$  using the density  $g(\mathbf{X}^* | \zeta_0)$  are given, where the bias is defined as  $-2\{E_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}) - \bar{l}_0^*\}$  with  $\bar{l}_0^*$  being the population counterpart of  $\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ , which is the limiting value of  $\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}$  when  $n$  is infinitely large. The value and the notation of  $\bar{l}_0^*$  are equal to those of (2.5) since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{l}_0^* &= E_g\{\bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{X}^*)\} = \int_{R(\mathbf{X})} \bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{X})g(\mathbf{X} | \zeta_0)d\mathbf{X} \\ &= \int_{R(\mathbf{Z})} \bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{Z})g(\mathbf{Z} | \zeta_0)d\mathbf{Z} = E_g\{\bar{l}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 | \mathbf{Z}^*)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{W}}$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(j)} (j=1,2)$  are given before and after studentization up to the fourth order with the higher-order asymptotic variances. The studentization is for testing and interval estimation, where the population values of  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}$  are defined in two ways as  $-2E_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}^*)$  and  $-2\bar{l}_0^*$ . While these two values are of order  $O(1)$ , the former depends on  $n$  in that the value is generally written as  $O(1) + O(n^{-1}) + O(n^{-2}) + \dots$ . When  $n$  is infinitely large,  $-2E_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}^*)$  becomes equal to  $-2\bar{l}_0^*$ . So,  $-2\bar{l}_0^*$  is also of interest as well as  $-2E_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_{\mathbf{W}}^*)$ . Note that asymptotically unbiased point estimators of the latter up to order  $O(n^{-1})$  are  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{W}}$  under correct model specification and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(j)} (j=1,2)$  under possible model misspecification.

Under possible model misspecification, assume that the following hold with the definitions of the asymptotic cumulants whose factors of  $O(1)$  are  $\alpha_{\mathbf{W}k}^{(\text{A})}$  for  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\mathbf{W}}$  and  $\alpha_{\mathbf{W}k}^{(\text{T}j)}$  for  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\mathbf{W}}^{(j)} (j=1,2) (k=1, \Delta 1, 2, \Delta 2, 3, 4)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{g1}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) &= -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} + n^{-1}\alpha_{W1}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{W\Delta 1}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\kappa_{g2}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) &= n^{-1}\alpha_{W2}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{W\Delta 2}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\kappa_{g3}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) &= n^{-2}\alpha_{W3}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\kappa_{g4}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) &= n^{-3}\alpha_{W4}^{(A)} + O(n^{-4}), \\
\kappa_{g1}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} + n^{-1}\alpha_{W1}^{(Tj)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{W\Delta 1}^{(Tj)} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\kappa_{g2}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= n^{-1}\alpha_{W2}^{(Tj)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{W\Delta 2}^{(Tj)} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\kappa_{g3}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= n^{-2}\alpha_{W3}^{(Tj)} + O(n^{-3}), \\
\kappa_{g4}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= n^{-3}\alpha_{W4}^{(Tj)} + O(n^{-4}) \quad (j=1, 2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

From the asymptotic properties of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}$  ( $j=1,2$ ) given earlier we have,  $\kappa_{g1}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\hat{l}_W^*) = O(n^{-1})$  under model misspecification and  $\kappa_{f1}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\hat{l}_W^*) = O(n^{-2})$  under correct model specification  $\alpha_{W1}^{(A)*} = 0$  while  $\kappa_{g1}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} + 2\hat{l}_W^*) = O(n^{-2})$  with  $\alpha_{W1}^{(Tj)*} = 0$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) under possible model misspecification. Other asymptotic cumulants for  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\hat{l}_W^*$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} + 2\hat{l}_W^*$  using the notations  $\alpha_{Wk}^{(A)*}$  and  $\alpha_{Wk}^{(Tj)*}$  ( $k = \Delta 1, 2, \Delta 2, 3, 4$ ), respectively, are defined similarly to (4.2).

Recall that  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W = -2\hat{l}_W + n^{-1}2q$  (see (3.1)) with the corresponding symbolic expressions of the asymptotic expansions of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}$  ( $j=1,2$ ) given by (3.4) and (3.5). Then, for the asymptotic cumulants of (4.2), we expand the main term  $-2\hat{l}_W$  common to  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}$  ( $j=1,2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\hat{l}_W &= -2(\bar{l}_0)_{O_p(1)} - 2\sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \theta_0')^{<j>}} (\hat{\theta}_W - \theta_0)^{<j>} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \\
&= -2(\bar{l}_0)_{O_p(1)} - 2\sum_{j=1}^4 \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j \bar{l}}{(\partial \theta_0')^{<j>}} \left\{ -n^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^3 \Lambda^{(k)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} + n^{-1}(\mathbf{I}_0^{(W)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \right\}^{<j>} \\
&\quad + O_p(n^{-5/2})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2(\bar{l}_0)_{O_p(1)} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \left\{ -n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} + n^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_0^{(W)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \right\} \\
&\quad - \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<2>}} \right\}_{O_p(1)} \left\{ -n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} + n^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_0^{(W)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \right\}^{<2>} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \frac{\partial^3 \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<3>}} \right\}_{O_p(1)} \left( -n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} \right)^{<3>} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<4>}} \right\} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(1)})^{<4>} + O_p(n^{-5/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

The five terms up to order  $O_p(n^{-1})$  in the last expression of (4.3) are further expanded one by one as follows:

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
-2(\bar{l}_0)_{O_p(1)} &= -2\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_0) - 2\{\bar{l}_0 - \mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_0)\} \\
&\equiv -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} - 2(\bar{l}_0 - \bar{l}_0^*)_{O_p(n^{-1/2})},
\end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2 \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \left( -n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} + n^{-1} \mathbf{I}_0^{(W)} \right) \\
&= 2 \left( n^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* \right)_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} + 2 \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_{O_p(n^{-1})} - 2 \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} \right)_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\
&\quad - 2 \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)} \right)_{O_p(n^{-2})} - 2 \left( n^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \mathbf{I}_0^{(W)} \right)_{O_p(n^{-2})}, \tag{4.4}
\end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<2>}} \right\}_{O_p(1)} \left( -n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} + n^{-1} \mathbf{I}_0^{(W)} \right)^{<2>} \\
&= -\text{vec}' \{ \boldsymbol{\Lambda} + (\mathbf{M})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \} \left( -n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^3 \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} + n^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_0^{(W)}) \right)^{<2>} \\
&= -\{ n^{-2} \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*)^{<2>} \}_{O(n^{-2})} - 2 \left[ n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-3/2})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2[n^{-1}\text{vec}'(\Lambda)\{(\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes (\Lambda^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})\}]_{O_p(n^{-2})} - \left\{ \text{vec}'(\Lambda) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\}_{O_p(n^{-1})} \\
& +2 \left[ \text{vec}'(\Lambda) \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes (\Lambda^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\
& +2 \left[ \text{vec}'(\Lambda) \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes (\Lambda^{(3)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(3)}) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})} \\
& - \left\{ \text{vec}'(\Lambda) (\Lambda^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\}_{O_p(n^{-2})} + 2 \left[ n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\Lambda) \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes \mathbf{I}_0^{(w)} \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})} \\
& -2 \left[ n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left\{ (\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})} \\
& - \left\{ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\}_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} + 2 \left[ \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes (\Lambda^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})},
\end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial^3 \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{\langle 3 \rangle}} \left( -n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^2 \Lambda^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \\
& = -\frac{1}{3} \text{vec}'[\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) + \{\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\}]_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} \left( -n^{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* + \sum_{k=1}^2 \Lambda^{(k)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(k)} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \\
& = \left[ n^{-1} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left\{ (\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})} \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \left[ \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \right]_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\
& - \left[ \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \otimes (\Lambda^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})} \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \left[ \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})},
\end{aligned}$$

(v)

$$-\frac{1}{12} \mathbf{E}_g \left\{ \frac{\partial^4 \bar{l}}{(\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0')^{<4>}} \right\} (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(1)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(1)})^{<4>} = -\frac{1}{12} \left[ \text{vec}' \{ \mathbf{E}_g (\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)}) \} \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<4>} \right]_{O_p(n^2)}.$$

$$\text{Using } \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} = \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<2>} \text{ and similar results in (4.4), (4.3)}$$

becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -2\hat{l}_W &= -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} - 2(\bar{l}_0 - \bar{l}_0^*)_{O_p(n^{1/2})} + \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)_{O_p(n^{-1})} \\ &+ \left[ \begin{aligned} &2n^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^* - 2 \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)} - 2n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \right\} \\ &+ 2 \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \left\{ \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} - \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<2>} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \text{vec}' \{ \mathbf{E}_g (\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \} \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right)^{<3>} \end{aligned} \right]_{(A) O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\ &- \{ n^{-2} \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*)^{<2>} \}_{O(n^{-2})} \\ &+ \left[ \begin{aligned} &-2 \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)} - 2n^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \mathbf{I}_0^{(W)} + 2n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \{ (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \} \\ &+ 2 \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \left\{ \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(3)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(3)}) \right\} - \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})^{<2>} \\ &+ 2n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}) \left\{ \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \otimes \mathbf{I}_0^{(W)} \right\} - 2n^{-1} \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0'} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \right]_{(B) \text{ (1)..... (2)..... (3)..... (4)..... (1)..... (2)..... (3).....}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2\text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes (\Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} + n^{-1} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left\{ (\Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*) \otimes \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \right\} \\
& \xrightarrow{-2 \times (4)} \dots \xrightarrow{-(3)} \dots \\
& -\text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left\{ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} \otimes (\Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)}) \right\} + \frac{1}{3} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \\
& \xrightarrow{-2 \times (4)} \dots \\
& -\frac{1}{12} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)})\} \left[ \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 4 \rangle} \right]_{\mathbf{(B)}O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \\
& = -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} - 2(\bar{l}_0 - \bar{l}_0^*)_{O_p(n^{-1/2})} + \left( \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0}' \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)_{O_p(n^{-1})} \\
& + \left[ -\text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \frac{1}{3} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \right]_{O_p(n^{-3/2})} \\
& - (n^{-2} \mathbf{q}_0^* \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*)_{O(n^{-2})} \\
& + \left[ \text{vec}'(\Lambda) (\Lambda^{(2)} \mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})^{\langle 2 \rangle} + \frac{1}{3} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)} - \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 3 \rangle} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{12} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(4)})\} \left( \Lambda^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{\langle 4 \rangle} \right]_{O_p(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \\
& \equiv -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^4 (\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(j)})_{O_p(n^{-j/2})} - (n^{-2} \mathbf{q}_0^* \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*)_{O(n^{-2})} + O_p(n^{-5/2}) \\
& (\bar{l}_{\text{W}}^{(j)} = \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(j)}, j = 1, \dots, 4),
\end{aligned}$$

where the underline with a number in parentheses indicates a quantity and the negative number e.g.,  $-a \times (4) \dots$  indicates  $-a$  times the quantity with (4) ... when summed.

The last parenthetical results  $\bar{l}_{\text{W}}^{(j)} = \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(j)}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, 4$ ) indicate that  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_{\text{W}}$  is equal to  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_{\text{ML}}$  up to order  $O_p(n^{-3/2})$ . The remaining two terms of order  $O(n^{-2})$  and  $O_p(n^{-2})$  are relevant only to  $\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta\text{I}}^{(\text{A})}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta\text{I}}^{(\text{T}j)}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) in (4.2). In the last result of (4.5), the first term for  $\bar{l}_{\text{W}}^{(4)}$  can also be written as



$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{vec}'(\mathbf{\Lambda})(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(2)}\mathbf{I}_0^{(2)})^{<2>} \\
&= \text{vec}'(\mathbf{M}) \left\{ \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad - \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>} \otimes \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \text{vec}'\{\mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)})\} \left[ \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>} \otimes \left\{ \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_g(\mathbf{J}_0^{(3)}) \left( \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{l}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \right)^{<2>} \right\} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(recall (2.10)).

Noting that  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W = -2\hat{\bar{l}}_W + n^{-1}2q$ , (4.5) gives

**Theorem 4.** *Under possible model misspecification and regularity conditions for (4.2), the asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W$  up to the fourth order with the higher-order asymptotic bias and variance are given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{g1}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) \\
&= -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} + n^{-1}\{n\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)}) + 2q\}_{O(1)} + n^{-2}\{n^2\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(3)} + \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(4)}) - \mathbf{q}_0^*{}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*\}_{O(1)} \\
&\quad + O(n^{-3}) \\
&= -2\bar{l}_0^* + n^{-1}\{\text{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}) + 2q\} + n^{-2}\{n^2\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(3)} + \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(4)}) - \mathbf{q}_0^*{}' \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_0^*\} + O(n^{-3}) \tag{4.6} \\
&\equiv -2\bar{l}_0^* + n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML1}}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 1}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&(\alpha_{\text{W1}}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML1}}^{(A)} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}) + 2q),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{g2}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) = n^{-1}[n\mathbf{E}_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^2\}]_{O(1)} + n^{-2}[2n^2\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)}\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)}) + 2n^2\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)}\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(3)}) \\
&\quad + n^2\mathbf{E}_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)})^2\} - (\alpha_{\text{ML1}}^{(A)} - 2q)^2] + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\equiv n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}) \quad (n\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)}) = \alpha_{\text{ML1}}^{(A)} - 2q = \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{\Gamma}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$(\alpha_{\text{W2}}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)} = n\mathbf{E}_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^2\} = 4\mathbf{E}_g\{(l_j - \bar{l}_0^*)^2\} = 4\text{var}_g(l_j), \alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 2}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_{g3}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) = n^{-2}[n^2\mathbf{E}_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^3\} + 3n^2\mathbf{E}_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^2\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)}\} - 3n\mathbf{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)})\alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)}] + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\equiv n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{ML3}}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}) \quad (\alpha_{\text{W3}}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML3}}^{(A)}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{g4}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) &= E_g \{ [n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W - E_g(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W)]^4 \} - 3\{n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)}\}^2 + O(n^{-4}) \\
&= E_g \{ (n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\bar{l}_0^*)^4 \} + n^{-3}[-4(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)\{\alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(A)} + 3(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}\} \\
&\quad + 6(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)^2\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}] - 3n^{-2}(\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)})^2 - 6n^{-3}\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)} + O(n^{-4}) \\
&= E_g \{ (n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\bar{l}_0^*)^4 \} - 3n^{-2}(\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)})^2 \\
&\quad - n^{-3}\{4(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)\alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(A)} + 6\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)} + 6\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)^2\} + O(n^{-4}) \\
&= n^{-3}[n^3\{\kappa_{g4}(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})\}_{O(n^{-3})} + 4n^3E_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^3\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)}\} + 6n^3E_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^2(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)})^2\} \\
&\quad + 4n^3E_g\{(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})^3\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(3)}\} - 4(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)\alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(A)} - 6\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)} - 6\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}(\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q)^2] + O(n^{-4}) \\
&\equiv n^{-3}\alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(A)} + O(n^{-4}) \quad (\alpha_{\text{W}4}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(A)}).
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of the canonical parameters under correct model specification as in Corollary 1, using (4.5) (see also (2.20)), the asymptotic biases become as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{f1}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W) &= -2\bar{l}_0^* + n^{-1}\{\text{tr}(\Lambda^{-1}\Gamma) + 2q\} + n^{-2}\{n^2E_f(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(3)} + \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(4)}) - \mathbf{q}_0^*'\Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*\} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&= -2\bar{l}_0^* + n^{-1}q \\
&\quad + n^{-2}\left[-\frac{1}{6}\mathbf{k}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)\mathbf{k}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) - \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{k}_{f3}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)[\mathbf{I}_{(q)} \otimes \{\text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q)})\text{vec}'(\mathbf{I}_{(q)})\}]\mathbf{k}_{f3}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{k}_{f4}'(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^*)\text{vec}(\mathbf{I}_{(q^2)}) + \mathbf{q}_0^*'\mathbf{I}_0^{-1}\mathbf{q}_0^*\right] + O(n^{-3}) \\
&= -2\bar{l}_0^* + n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 1}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where  $-1/6$  and  $+1/4$  come from  $(1/3) - (2/4)$  and  $(1/12) \times 3$ , respectively (see (2.20) and the result before Theorem 4).

The results for  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) corresponding to Theorem 4 are given from (3.4) and (3.5) of Definition 1.

**Theorem 5.** *Under possible model misspecification and regularity conditions for (4.2), the asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) up to the fourth order with the higher-order asymptotic bias and variance are given as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{g1}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} + n^{-1}\{\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q + 2\text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1}\Gamma)\} + n^{-2}\{\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 1}^{(A)} + 2n^2E_g(\text{tr}_{\Delta\Delta}^{(Tj)})\} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\equiv -2(\bar{l}_0^*)_{O(1)} + n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(Tj)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 1}^{(Tj)} + O(n^{-3}) \\
(\alpha_{\text{W}1}^{(Tj)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(Tj)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(A)} - 2q + 2\text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1}\Gamma) = \text{tr}(-\Lambda^{-1}\Gamma)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{g2}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)} + n^{-2}\{\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)} + 4n\text{E}_g(\bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)}\text{tr}_\Delta^{(Tj)})\} + O(n^{-3}) \\
&\equiv n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(T\Box)} + n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 2}^{(Tj)} + O(n^{-3}) \quad (\alpha_{\text{W}2}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{W}2}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}2}^{(A)}), \\
\kappa_{g3}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= \kappa_{g3}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}}) + O(n^{-3}) \\
&= n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3}) \quad (\alpha_{\text{W}3}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{W}3}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(A)}), \\
\kappa_{g4}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)}) &= \kappa_{g4}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}}) + O(n^{-3}) \\
&= n^{-3}\alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(A)} + O(n^{-4}) \quad (\alpha_{\text{W}4}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{W}4}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(A)}) \quad (j=1,2),
\end{aligned}$$

where the superscript  $(T\cdot)$  indicates a result common to  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} (j=1,2)$ .

In (4.8),  $\alpha_{\text{W}3}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{W}3}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}3}^{(A)}$  stems from the property that the third asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} (j=1,2)$  are given only by  $\bar{l}_W^{(1)} (= \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})$  and  $\bar{l}_W^{(2)} (= \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(2)})$  of  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_W$  in (3.4) and (3.5) of Definition 1 (see the last parenthetical result of (4.5)) with the fixed term  $\text{tr}(\Lambda^{-1}\Gamma)$  in (3.4) and (3.5) being irrelevant to the cumulants except that of the first order. The additional stochastic terms  $2n^{-1}(\text{tr}_\Delta^{(Tj)})_{O_p(n^{-1/2})}$  for  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} (j=1,2)$  in (3.4) and (3.5) with  $\bar{l}_W^{(j)} (= \bar{l}_{\text{ML}}^{(j)}) (j=1,2,3)$  in the expansion of  $-2\hat{\bar{l}}_W$  common to  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} (j=1,2)$  contribute to the higher-order added asymptotic variance  $n^{-2}\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 2}^{(Tj)} (j=1,2)$  in (4.8). However, the contributions by  $2n^{-1}\text{tr}_\Delta^{(Tj)} (j=1,2)$  are canceled when we derive the (asymptotic) fourth cumulants, giving  $\alpha_{\text{W}4}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(T\Box)} = \alpha_{\text{W}4}^{(A)} = \alpha_{\text{ML}4}^{(A)}$  in (4.8).

For interval estimation of the population quantity  $-2\bar{l}_0^*$  as well as  $-2\text{E}_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_W^*)$  by  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} (j=1,2)$ , the following studentized estimators are defined:

$$\begin{aligned}
t_W^{(A)} &\equiv \frac{n^{1/2}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\bar{l}_0^*)}{(\hat{v}_W^{(A)})^{1/2}}, \quad t_W^{(Tj)} \equiv \frac{n^{1/2}(n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} + 2\bar{l}_0^*)}{(\hat{v}_W^{(A)})^{1/2}} \quad (j=1,2), \\
t_W^{(A)*} &\equiv \frac{n^{1/2}\{n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W + 2\text{E}_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_W^*)\}}{(\hat{v}_W^{(A)})^{1/2}}, \quad t_W^{(Tj)*} \equiv \frac{n^{1/2}\{n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} + 2\text{E}_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_W^*)\}}{(\hat{v}_W^{(A)})^{1/2}} \quad (j=1,2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where  $t_W^{(A)}$  and  $t_W^{(Tj)} (j=1,2)$  are for estimation of  $-2\bar{l}_0^*$  while  $t_W^{(A)*}$  and

$t_W^{(Tj)*} (j=1,2)$  are for  $-2\text{E}_g(\hat{\bar{l}}_W^*)$  under possible model misspecification;  $n^{-1}\hat{v}_W^{(A)}$  is the

robust estimator of the asymptotic variance  $n^{-1}\alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)}$  common to  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_W$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_W^{(j)} (j=1,2)$ :

$$\hat{v}_W^{(A)} \equiv 4(n-1)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (\hat{l}_{Wj} - \hat{\bar{l}}_W) = O_{p(1)} \quad (4.10)$$

with  $\hat{l}_{Wj} \equiv l_j |_{\theta=\hat{\theta}_W} (j=1,\dots,n)$  and  $\hat{\bar{l}}_W = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{l}_{Wj}$  (for  $l_j$  see (2.1)).

Under correct model specification, in many cases  $\alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)}$  may be explicitly obtained as a function of  $\theta_0$ . However, since this result depends on a model employed, the four versions of robust studentization in (4.9) are considered in this section. Define the stochastic quantity using  $\theta_0$  in place of  $\hat{\theta}_W$  in (4.10):

$$v_0^{(A)} \equiv 4(n-1)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (l_{0j} - \bar{l}_0) = O_{p(1)} \quad (4.11)$$

with  $l_{0j} \equiv l_j |_{\theta=\theta_0} (j=1,\dots,n)$  and  $\bar{l}_0 = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n l_{0j}$ . Then,  $v_0^{(A)}$  is an exactly unbiased robust estimator of  $\alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)}$  with  $E_g(v_0^{(A)}) = \alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)}$  though  $v_0^{(A)}$  usually includes the unknown  $\theta_0$ . Generally, the estimator  $\hat{v}_W^{(A)}$  is not an unbiased one but is a consistent estimator of  $\alpha_{\text{ML2}}^{(A)}$ .

Under possible model misspecification, assume that the following hold with the asymptotic cumulants, whose factors of order  $O(1)$  are  $\alpha_{(t)Wk}^{(A)} (k=1, 2, \Delta 2, 3, 4)$  for  $t_W^{(A)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{g1}(t_W^{(A)}) &= n^{-1/2} \alpha_{(t)W1}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3/2}), \\ \kappa_{g2}(t_W^{(A)}) &= 1 + n^{-1} \alpha_{(t)W\Delta 2}^{(A)} + O(n^{-2}) \quad (\alpha_{(t)W2}^{(A)} = 1), \\ \kappa_{g3}(t_W^{(A)}) &= n^{-1/2} \alpha_{(t)W3}^{(A)} + O(n^{-3/2}), \\ \kappa_{g4}(t_W^{(A)}) &= n^{-1} \alpha_{(t)W4}^{(A)} + O(n^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Similarly,  $\alpha_{(t)Wk}^{(Tj)}$  for  $t_W^{(Tj)}$ ,  $\alpha_{(t)Wk}^{(A)*}$  for  $t_W^{(A)*}$  and  $\alpha_{(t)Wk}^{(Tj)*}$  for

$t_W^{(Tj)*} (j=1,2), (k=1, 2, \Delta 2, 3, 4)$  are defined. These asymptotic cumulants are obtained.

However, since their derivations and results are relatively involved, they are shown in the first supplement to this paper (Ogasawara, 2016a).

Insert Tables 1 and 2 about here

## 5. Examples

Three examples are given in this section. Each of Examples 1 and 2 uses the MLE of a canonical parameter in the exponential family under model misspecification while Example 3 deals with the WSE of a canonical parameter in the exponential family under correct model specification. The asymptotic cumulants, obtained in Section 4, for the examples are shown in Tables 1 and 2, whose expository derivations are given in the supplements to this paper (Ogasawara, 2016a, 2016b).

**Example 1:** The MLE of the parameter in the exponential distribution is used when the gamma distribution with the shape parameter  $\alpha$  being unequal to 1 holds. That is, the density

$$f(x^* = x | \lambda_0) = \lambda_0 \exp(-\lambda_0 x) \quad (x > 0) \quad (5.1)$$

is used with  $\theta_0 = \lambda_0$  when the true distribution is

$$g(x^* = x | \lambda_1, \alpha) = x^{\alpha-1} \lambda_1^\alpha \exp(-\lambda_1 x) / \Gamma(\alpha) \quad (x > 0, \alpha \neq 1) \quad (5.2)$$

with  $\zeta_0 = (\lambda_1, \alpha)'$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  being the gamma function. By assumption  $\alpha = 1$  is excluded. However, when  $\alpha = 1$  in (5.2), this reduces to (5.1). The MLE of  $\lambda_0$  is  $1/\bar{x}$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is the sample mean of the observable variable. This gives the population  $\lambda_0$  under model misspecification as

$$\lambda_0 = 1 / E_g(\bar{x}) = \lambda_1 / \alpha. \quad (5.3)$$

**Example 2:** The MLE of the mean in the univariate normal distribution with known variance  $\sigma^2$  is used when the true distribution is non-normal with known variance  $\sigma^2$ . That is,

$$f(x^* = x | \mu_0, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \quad (5.4)$$

with  $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \hat{\mu}_{ML} = \bar{x}$ . In this example,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{l}_0^* &= E_g(l_{0j}) = E_f(l_{0j}) = -\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2}, \\ E_g(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}) &= E_f(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}) = \mu_0, n \text{var}_g(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}) = n \text{var}_f(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}) = \sigma^2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

However,  $\text{var}_g(l_{0j}) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \kappa_{g^4} \left( \frac{x^* - \mu_0}{\sigma} \right) + 2 \right\}$  under non-normality with  $\kappa_{g^4}(\cdot) \neq 0$  is not equal to  $\text{var}_f(l_{0j}) = 1/2$  under normality.

**Example 3:** The WSE of the logit in the Bernoulli distribution is used under correct model specification. That is,

$$\Pr(x^* = x | \theta_0) = \pi_0^x (1 - \pi_0)^{1-x} \quad (x = 0, 1), \quad \pi_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta_0)}.\tag{5.6}$$

While  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \log \frac{\bar{x}}{1 - \bar{x}}$  ( $\bar{x} \neq 0, 1$ ), where  $\bar{x}$  is the usual sample proportion,  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{W}}$  in Example 3 is defined as the solution of  $\theta$  which maximizes

$$\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n \pi^{x_j} (1 - \pi)^{1-x_j} \right\} \{ \pi(1 - \pi) \}^{a/2} \quad \text{with} \quad \pi = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta)},\tag{5.7}$$

where  $a$  is the sum of equal pseudocounts for two categories. The solution is given when

$$\theta = \hat{\theta}_{\text{W}} \equiv \log \frac{\bar{x} + n^{-1}0.5a}{1 - \bar{x} + n^{-1}0.5a}.$$

In the footnotes of the tables, general results associated with the tables are given (for derivation, see also Ogasawara, 2016a, b). In Examples 1 and 2, the results do not depend on scales since  $l$  (log-likelihood) is scale-free in these examples. Although  $\alpha \neq 1$  is assumed in Example 1,  $\alpha = 1$  gives the corresponding results under correct model specification. Note that in the latter case with  $\alpha = 1$ , all the results in Example 1 are given by fixed values. Under correct model specification, the bias-corrected  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}}$  up to order  $O(n^{-2})$ , denoted by  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})}$ , is given by as simple as

$$n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})} = -2\hat{l}_{\text{ML}} + n^{-1}2 + n^{-2}2.\tag{5.8}$$

Similarly, under normality, the results for Example 2 in the tables are given only by fixed values, where  $\kappa_j$ 's ( $\equiv \kappa_{g^j} \{ (x^* - \mu_0) / \sigma \}$ 's) ( $j \neq 2$ ) vanish. Note also that

$n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} (= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(j)}, j = 1, 2)$  in Example 2 is exactly unbiased even under non-normality (see (5.5) and Corollary 3). In Example 3, the results when  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}$  is used, are given by  $a = 0$ .

In Example 3, from Table 1 we have

**Corollary 4.** *Under the assumption that the Bernoulli distribution holds,  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{W}}$  for estimation of  $-2\text{E}_f(\hat{l}_{\text{W}}^*)$  using  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{W}}$  as the weighted score estimator of the logit with the total number  $a$  of equal pseudocounts for two categories gives no asymptotic bias up to order  $O(n^{-2})$  when  $a = 1$ .*

For the derivation of the higher-order asymptotic bias, see Ogasawara (2016b, Subsection S6.1). It is of interest to see that when  $a = 1$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{W}}$  is also unbiased up to order  $O(n^{-1})$  (see e.g., Ogasawara, 2013, Section 6). On the other hand, for estimation of  $-2\bar{l}_0^*$  the corresponding bias of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{W}}$  up to order  $O(n^{-2})$  is  $n^{-1} + n^{-2}\{(1/6)(1 - \bar{l}_0^{-1}) + (a^2/4)(1 - 2\pi_0)^2\bar{l}_0^{-1}\}$ , which is minimized when  $a = 0$  and  $\pi_0 \neq 1/2$  while  $a$  is irrelevant to the asymptotic bias when  $\pi_0 = 1/2$ .

## References

- Akaike, H. (1973). Information theory and an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In B. N. Petrov & F. Csáki (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2nd international symposium on information theory* (pp. 267-281). Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2010). *Model selection and multimodel inference – A practical information-theoretic approach* (2nd ed.). New York: Springer.
- Ishiguro, M., Sakamoto, Y., & Kitagawa, G. (1997). Bootstrapping log-likelihood and EIC, An extension of AIC. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 49, 411-434.
- Kishino, H., & Hasegawa, M. (1989). Evaluation of the maximum likelihood estimate of the evolutionary tree topologies from DNA sequence data, and the branching order in hominoidea. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, 29, 170-179.
- Konishi, S., & Kitagawa, G. (1996). Generalized information criteria in model selection.

*Biometrika*, 83, 875-890.

- Konishi, S., & Kitagawa, G. (2003). Asymptotic theory for information criteria in model selection – functional approach. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 114, 45-61.
- Konishi, S., & Kitagawa, G. (2008). *Information criteria and statistical modeling*. New-York: Springer.
- Kullback, S., & Leibler, R. A. (1951). On information and sufficiency. *The Annals of Statistics*, 22, 79-86.
- Linhart, H. (1988). A test whether two AIC's differ significantly. *South African Statistical Journal*, 22, 153-161.
- Linhart, H., & Zucchini, W. (1986). *Model selection*. New York: Wiley.
- Ogasawara, H. (2010). Asymptotic expansions for the pivots using log-likelihood derivatives with an application in item response theory. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 101, 2149-2167.
- Ogasawara, H. (2013). Bias adjustment minimizing the asymptotic mean square error. To appear in *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods*.  
DOI:10.1080/03610926.2013.786788.
- Ogasawara, H. (2014). Optimization of the Gaussian and Jeffreys power priors with emphasis on the canonical parameters in the exponential family. *Behaviormetrika*, 41, 195-223.
- Ogasawara, H. (2015). An expository supplement to the paper “Bias adjustment minimizing the asymptotic mean square error” with errata. To appear in *Economic Review (Otaru University of Commerce)*, 65 (4). <http://www.res.otaru-uc.ac.jp/~hogasa/>,  
<http://barrel.ih.otaru-uc.ac.jp/>.
- Ogasawara, H. (2016a). Supplement I to the paper “Asymptotic cumulants of some information criteria” – Asymptotic cumulants of the studentized information criteria and Example 1. To appear in *Economic Review (Otaru University of Commerce)*.
- Ogasawara, H. (2016b). Supplement II to the paper “Asymptotic cumulants of some information criteria” – Examples 2 and 3. To appear in *Economic Review (Otaru University of Commerce)*.
- Sakamoto, Y., Ishiguro, M., & Kitagawa, G. (1986). *Akaike information criterion statistics*.



Dordrecht: Reidel.

- Schwarz, G. (1978). Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics*, 6, 461-464.
- Shibata, R. (1989). Statistical aspects of model selection. In J. C. Willems (Ed.), *From data to model* (pp.215-240). Berlin: Springer.
- Shimodaira, H. (1997). Assessing the error probability of the model selection test. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 49, 395-410.
- Shimodaira, H., & Hasegawa, M. (1999). Multiple comparisons of log-likelihoods with applications to phylogenetic inference. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 16, 1114-1116.
- Steiger, J. H., Shapiro, A., & Browne, M. W. (1985). On the multivariate asymptotic distribution of sequential chi-square statistics. *Psychometrika*, 50, 253-264.
- Stone, M. (1977). An asymptotic equivalence of choice of model by cross-validation and Akaike's criterion. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, B*, 39, 44-47.
- Sugiura, N. (1978). Further analysis of the data by Akaike's information criterion and the finite corrections. *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods*, 7, 13-26.
- Takeuchi, K. (1976). Distributions of information statistics and criteria of the goodness of models. *Mathematical Science*, 153, 12-18. (in Japanese)
- von Mises, R. (1947). On the asymptotic distribution of differentiable statistical functions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 18, 309-348.
- Withers, C. S. (1983). Expansions for the distribution and quantiles of a regular functional of the empirical distribution with applications to nonparametric confidence intervals. *The Annals of Statistics*, 11, 577-587.
- Yanagihara, H., Sekiguchi, R., & Fujikoshi, Y. (2003). Bias correction of AIC in logistic regression models. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 115, 349-360.
- Yanagihara, H., & Ohmoto, C. (2005). On distribution of AIC in linear regression models. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 133, 417-433.

Table 1. Asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML(W)}}$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML(W)}}^{(j)}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) before studentization

|  | Example 1  | Example 2   | Example 3  |
|--|--|---|--|
| Model distribution                     | Exponential  | normal with known $\sigma^2$  | Bernoulli  |
| True distribution                      | gamma, $\alpha \neq 1$                                       | non-normal  | Bernoulli  |
| Parameter                              | canonical (the reciprocal of the scale)                      | canonical (mean)  | canonical (logit)  |
| AIC                                    | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}}$                               | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} (= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})$                                   | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{W}} (= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{W}}^{(1)})$  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)1}}^{(A)}$         | $2 - \alpha^{-1}$  | 1   | 1  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)\Delta 1}}^{(A)}$  | $-(1/6)\alpha^{-2}$  | 0   | $(1/6)(1 - \bar{i}_0^{-1})$<br>$+(a^2/4)(1 - 2\pi_0)^2 \bar{i}_0^{-1}$   |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)1}}^{(A)*}$        | $2 - 2\alpha^{-1}$   | 0   | 0  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)\Delta 1}}^{(A)*}$ | $-2\alpha^{-2}$  | 0   | $(a-1)\{(1 - 2\pi_0)^2 \bar{i}_0^{-1} + 2\}$   |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)2}}^{(A)}$         | $4\alpha^{-1}$   | $\kappa_4 + 2$  | $4\theta_0^2 \bar{i}_0$  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)\Delta 2}}^{(A)}$  | $2\alpha^{-2}$   | $-2(\kappa_4 + 1)$  | 2  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)3}}^{(A)}$         | $-8\alpha^{-2}$  | $\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 4\kappa_3^2 + 8$   | $- \{8\theta_0^3(1 - 2\pi_0) + 24\theta_0^2\} \bar{i}_0$   |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML(W)4}}^{(A)}$         | $32\alpha^{-3}$  | $\kappa_8 + 24\kappa_6 + 32\kappa_5\kappa_3$<br>$+ 32\kappa_4^2 + 144\kappa_4$<br>$+ 96\kappa_3^2 + 48$ | $\{16\theta_0^4(1 - 6\pi_0 + 6\pi_0^2) + 128\theta_0^3(1 - 2\pi_0) + 192\theta_0^2\} \bar{i}_0$  |
| Higher-order bias correction           | (see the case of $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)}$ below) | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} (= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})$ is unbiased                       | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{W} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})}$<br>$= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{W} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})}^{(1)}$<br>$= -2\hat{l}_{\text{W}} + n^{-1}2$<br>$+ n^{-2}(1-a)[(1-2\bar{x})^2 \times \{\bar{x}(1-\bar{x})\}^{-1} + 2]$ |

(to be continued)

Table 1. (continued)

|  | Example 1 ( $\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(\text{T})} = \alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(\text{A})}$ in this example)   |
|--|--|
| TIC  | $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(\text{I})}$  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(\text{T})}$         | $\alpha^{-1}$  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 1}^{(\text{T})}$  | $-\frac{1}{6\alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha\psi''(\alpha) + \psi'(\alpha)}{\alpha\{\alpha\psi'(\alpha) - 1\}^2}$  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML}1}^{(\text{T})*}$        | 0  |
| $\alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 1}^{(\text{T})*}$ | $-\frac{2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha\psi''(\alpha) + \psi'(\alpha)}{\alpha\{\alpha\psi'(\alpha) - 1\}^2}$   |
| Higher-order<br>bias correction            | $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML} \rightarrow O(n^{-2})}^{(\text{I})}$<br>$= -2\hat{l}_{\text{ML}} + n^{-1}\frac{2}{\hat{\alpha}} + n^{-2}\left[\frac{2}{\hat{\alpha}^2} - \frac{\hat{\alpha}\psi''(\hat{\alpha}) + \psi'(\hat{\alpha})}{\hat{\alpha}\{\hat{\alpha}\psi'(\hat{\alpha}) - 1\}^2}\right]$ |

Note.  $\alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{A})}$  are for  $\kappa_{gj}(n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} + 2\hat{l}_0^*)$  while  $\alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{A})*}$  are for  $\kappa_{gj}\{n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} + 2E_g(\hat{l}_{\text{ML}}^*)\}$  ( $j=1, \Delta 1, 2, \Delta 2, 3, 4$ ) in Examples 1 and 2. Similarly,  $\alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{A})}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{A})*}$  are defined in Example 3.  $\psi'(\cdot)$  and  $\psi''(\cdot)$  are the first and second derivatives of the digamma function  $\psi(\cdot)$ , respectively. Generally,  $\alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{A})} = \alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{A})*}$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{A})} = \alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{A})*}$  ( $j=2, \Delta 2, 3, 4$ ),  $\alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{A})} = \alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{A})}$  ( $j=1, 2, \Delta 2, 3, 4$ ),  $\alpha_{\text{W}\Delta 1}^{(\text{A})} \neq \alpha_{\text{ML}\Delta 1}^{(\text{A})}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{T})} = \alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{T})} = \alpha_{\text{W}j}^{(\text{A})} = \alpha_{\text{ML}j}^{(\text{A})}$  ( $j=2, 3, 4$ ).

In Example 1,  $\kappa_j \equiv \kappa_{gj}\{(x^* - \mu_0)/\sigma\}$  and in Example 3  $\bar{l}_0 = \pi_0(1 - \pi_0)$  is the population Fisher information per observation.

Table 2. Asymptotic cumulants of  $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML(W)}}$  and  $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML(W)}}^{(j)}$  ( $j=1, 2$ ) after studentization

|   | Example 1   | Example 2  | Example 3   |
|---|---|--|---|
| AIC                                       | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}}$  | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{ML}} (= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)})$  | $n^{-1}\text{AIC}_{\text{W}} (= n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{W}}^{(1)})$   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)1}}^{(A)}$         | $\alpha^{1/2} - (1/2)\alpha^{-1/2}$   | $(\kappa_4 + 2)^{-1/2} - (1/2)(\kappa_4 + 2)^{-3/2}$<br>$\times(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 6\kappa_3^2 + 8)$  | $\{(3/2)\theta_0^{-1}$<br>$+ (1/2)(1 - 2\pi_0)\} \bar{i}_0^{-1/2}$  |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)1}}^{(A)*}$        | $\alpha^{1/2} - \alpha^{-1/2}$  | $-(1/2)(\kappa_4 + 2)^{-3/2}$<br>$\times(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 6\kappa_3^2 + 8)$   | $\{\theta_0^{-1} + (1/2)(1 - 2\pi_0)\} \bar{i}_0^{-1/2}$  |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)2}}^{(A)}$         | 1   | 1  | 1   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)\Delta 2}}^{(A)}$  | $(7/2)\alpha^{-1} + 2$  | $2 - 2(\kappa_4 + 2)^{-1} + (\kappa_4 + 2)^{-2}(-\kappa_6$<br>$+ 8\kappa_5\kappa_3 + 2\kappa_4^2 - 4\kappa_4 + 50\kappa_3^2)$<br>$+ (\kappa_4 + 2)^{-3}(7/4)$<br>$\times(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 6\kappa_3^2 + 8)^2$   | $\left\{ \frac{7}{4}(1 - 2\pi_0)^2 + \left( -\frac{a}{4} + \frac{9}{2} \right) \right.$<br>$\times \theta_0^{-1}(1 - 2\pi_0) + \frac{11}{2}\theta_0^{-2} \left. \right\} \bar{i}_0^{-1}$<br>$+ 2$ |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)\Delta 2}}^{(A)*}$ | $(7/2)\alpha^{-1} + 2$<br>(= $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)}$ in<br>Example 1) | $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)} + (\kappa_4 + 2)^{-2}$<br>$\times(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 6\kappa_3^2 + 8)$   | $\left\{ \frac{7}{4}(1 - 2\pi_0)^2 + \left( -\frac{a}{4} + 4 \right) \right.$<br>$\times \theta_0^{-1}(1 - 2\pi_0) + \frac{9}{2}\theta_0^{-2} \left. \right\} \bar{i}_0^{-1}$<br>$+ 2$            |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)3}}^{(A)}$         | $-\alpha^{-1/2}$  | $-2(\kappa_4 + 2)^{-3/2}$<br>$\times(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 7\kappa_3^2 + 8)$   | $\{2(1 - 2\pi_0) + 3\theta_0^{-1}\} \bar{i}_0^{-1/2}$   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML(W)4}}^{(A)}$         | $8\alpha^{-1} + 6$  | $12 - 18(\kappa_4 + 2)^{-1} + (\kappa_4 + 2)^{-2}$<br>$\times(-2\kappa_8 - 48\kappa_6 - 64\kappa_5\kappa_3 - 70\kappa_4^2$<br>$- 294\kappa_4 - 144\kappa_3^2 - 84)$<br>$+ (\kappa_4 + 2)^{-3}$<br>$\times\{12(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 6\kappa_3^2 + 8)^2$<br>$+ 12(\kappa_6 + 12\kappa_4 + 6\kappa_3^2 + 8)\kappa_3^2\}$ | $\{10(1 - 2\pi_0)^2$<br>$+ 26\theta_0^{-1}(1 - 2\pi_0)$<br>$+ 26\theta_0^{-2}\} \bar{i}_0^{-1} + 10$  |
| TIC                                       | $n^{-1}\text{TIC}_{\text{ML}}^{(1)}$  |  |   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML1}}^{(T)}$            | $(1/2)\alpha^{-1/2}$  |  |   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML1}}^{(T)*}$           | 0 (not a general result)  |  |   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(T)}$     | $(7/2)\alpha^{-1} + 2$ (= $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)}$<br>in Example 1)    |  |   |
| $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(T)*}$    | $(7/2)\alpha^{-1} + 2$ (= $\alpha_{(t)\text{ML}\Delta 2}^{(A)}$<br>in Example 1)    |  |   |

Note. Generally,  $\alpha_{(t)\text{W}j}^{(A)} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}j}^{(A)}$  ( $j=1, 2, 3, 4$ ),  $\alpha_{(t)\text{W}2}^{(A)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}2}^{(A)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{W}2}^{(A)} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}2}^{(A)} = 1$  and  $\alpha_{(t)\text{W}j}^{(A)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}j}^{(A)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{W}j}^{(A)} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}j}^{(A)}$  ( $j=3, 4$ ). Generally,  $\alpha_{(t)\text{W}2}^{(T)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}2}^{(T)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{W}2}^{(T)} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}2}^{(T)} = 1$  and  $\alpha_{(t)\text{W}j}^{(T)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}j}^{(T)*} = \alpha_{(t)\text{W}j}^{(T)} = \alpha_{(t)\text{ML}j}^{(T)}$  ( $j=3, 4$ ).

This **Discussion Paper Series** is published by the **Center for Business Creation**(changed from the Institute of Economic Research on April 1999) and integrates two old ones published separately by the Department of Economics and the Department of Commerce.

**Discussion Paper Series  
Institute of Economic Research  
Otaru University of Commerce**

| No. | Title   | Author/s   | Date      |
|-----|---|--|-----------|
| 1.  | ホーケンス=サイモンの条件に関する諸説の統合について  | タスクフタ, デイハンカー  | Jul. 1992 |
| 2.  | Motivation and Causal Inferences in the Budgetary Control   | Yoshihiro Naka   | Aug. 1992 |
| 3.  | Проблемы управления рабоче-<br>сий на предприятиях Дальнего<br>Востока (социологические аспекты)                      | Анатолий<br>Михайлович<br>Шкурки                       | Nov. 1992 |
| 4.  | Dynamic Tax Incidence in a Finite Horizon Model   | Jun-ichi Itaya   | Jan. 1993 |
| 5.  | Business Cycles with Asset Price Bubbles and the Role of Monetary Policy  | Hiroshi Shibuya  | Jun. 1993 |
| 6.  | Continuous Double-Sided Auctions in Foreign Exchange Markets  | Ryosuke Wada   | Aug. 1993 |
| 7.  | The Existence of Ramsey Equilibrium with Consumption Externality  | Sadao Kanaya<br>& Tomoichi Shinotsuka                  | Sep. 1993 |
| 8.  | Money, Neutrality of Consumption Taxes, and Growth in Intertemporal Optimizing Models                                 | Jun-ichi Itaya   | Nov. 1993 |
| 9.  | Product Returns in the Japanese Distribution System: A Case Study of a Japanese Wholesaler's Return Reduction Efforts | Jeffery Alan Brunson                                   | Mar. 1994 |
| 10. | Dynamics, Consistent Conjectures and Heterogeneous Agents in the Private Provision of Public Goods                    | Jun-ichi Itaya<br>& Dipankar Dasgupta                  | Jun. 1994 |
| 11. | Intra-industry Investment and Imperfect Markets<br>A Geometric approach in Simple General Equilibrium                 | Laixun Zhao  | Oct. 1994 |
| 12. | Sit-Down to Split: Flint GM Workers in 1937-1939  | Satoshi Takata   | Dec. 1994 |
| 13. | The Complementarity between Endogenous Protection and Direct foreign Investment                                       | Laixun Zhao  | Feb. 1995 |
| 14. | Consumption Taxation and Tax Prepayment approach in Dynamic General equilibrium Models with Consumer Durables         | Jun-ichi Itaya   | Mar. 1995 |
| 15. | Regulatory System and Supervision of the Financial Institutions in Japan  | Osamu Ito  | May 1995  |
| 16. | Financial Restructuring and the U. S. Regulatory Framework  | Jane W. D'Arista                                       | May 1995  |
| 17. | The Legacy of the Bubble Economy in Japan: Declining cross Shareholding and Capital Formation                         | Hiroo Hojo   | May 1995  |
| 18. | Stockownership in the U. S.: Capital Formation and Regulation   | Marshall E. Blume                                      | May 1995  |
| 19. | International Joint Ventures and Endogenous Protection<br>a Political-Economy Approach                                | Laixun Zhao  | Nov. 1995 |
| 20. | GM社をめぐるアメリカ労働史研究: ファインとエッジフォースの現場像の吟味   | 高田聡  | Feb. 1996 |
| 21. | 卸売業の経営と戦略 - 卸売流通研究会ヒアリング調査録(1): 日用<br>雑貨卸売企業  | 卸売流通研究会<br>(代表 高宮城朝則)                                  | Apr. 1996 |
| 22. | 卸売業の経営と戦略 - 卸売流通研究会ヒアリング調査録(2): 食品・<br>酒類卸売企業   | 卸売流通研究会<br>(代表 高宮城朝則)                                  | Apr. 1996 |
| 23. | A Note on the Impacts of Price Shocks on Wage in Unionized Economies  | Laixun Zhao  | May 1996  |
| 24. | Transfer Pricing and the Nature of the subsidiary firm  | Laixun Zhao  | Jun. 1996 |
| 25. | The Incidence of a Tax on Pure in an Altruistic Overlapping Generations Economy                                       | Jun-ichi Itaya   | Sep. 1996 |
| 26. | 'Small Government' in the 21st Century  | Hiroshi Shibuya  | Sep. 1996 |
| 27. | Characteristics and Reforms of Public Health Insurance System in Japan  | Takashi Nakahama                                       | Sep. 1996 |
| 28. | The Role of Local Governments in Urban Development Policy   | Yoshinori Akiyama                                      | Sep. 1996 |
| 29. | Optimal Taxation and the Private Provision of Public Goods  | Jun-ichi Itaya<br>& David de Meza<br>& Gareth D. Myles | Oct. 1996 |
| 30. | Comparison of Agricultural Policy in the U. S. and the Japan  | Toshikazu Tateiwa                                      | Oct. 1996 |
| 31. | US Health Insurance: Types, Patterns of Coverage and Constraints to Reform  | Dwayne A. Banks  | Oct. 1996 |
| 32. | International Capital Flows and National Macroeconomic Policies   | Jane W. D'Arista                                       | Oct. 1996 |
| 33. | Financial Liberalization and Securitization in Housing Finance and the Changing Roles of the Government               | Syn-ya Imura   | Oct. 1996 |
| 34. | Social Efficiency and the 'Market Revolution' in US Housing Finance   | Gary Dymski<br>& Dorene Isenberg                       | Oct. 1996 |
| 35. | Government Expenditure and the Balance of Payments: Budget Deficit, Financial Integration, and Economic Diplomacy     | Hiroshi Shibuya  | Nov. 1996 |
| 36. | A History of PBGC and Its Roles   | C. David Gustafson                                     | Nov. 1996 |
| 37. | Dynamic Provision of Public Goods as Environmental Externalities  | Toshihiro Ihori<br>& Jun-ichi Itaya                    | Mar. 1997 |

|   |   |          |
|---|---|----------|
| 38. A Comparative Static Analysis of the Balanced Budget Incidence in the Presence of Sector-Specific Unemployment  | Koh Sumino                                      | Mar.1997 |
| 39. An Econometric Study of Trade Creation and Trade Diversion in the EEC, LAFTA and CMEA:A Simple Application of the Gravity Model   | Masahiro Endoh                                  | Apr.1997 |
| 40. A Dynamic Model of Fiscal Reconstruction  | Toshihiro Ithori & Jun-ichi Itaya               | Apr.1997 |
| 41. The Japanese Way of Solving Financial Institution Failures  | Osamu Ito                                       | Jul.1997 |
| 42. The Federal Role in Community Development in the U.S.: Evolution vs. Devolution   | Jane Knodell                                    | Oct.1997 |
| 43. Rent-Seeking Behavior in the War of Attrition   | Jun-ichi Itaya & Hiroyuki Sano                  | Oct.1997 |
| 44. サハリン石油・ガス開発プロジェクトと北海道経済の活性化 第1号   | 北東アジア-サハリン研究会                                   | May 1998 |
| 45. 購買部門の戦略性と企業間連携について  | 伊藤 一  | Jun.1998 |
| 46. The Formation of Customs Unions and the Effect on Government Policy Objectives  | Masahiro Endoh                                  | Jul.1998 |
| 47. The Transition of Postwar Asia-Pacific Trade Relations  | Masahiro Endoh                                  | Jul.1998 |
| 48. 地域型ベンチャー支援システムの研究 I-道内製造業系ベンチャー企業のケーススタディー  | 地域経済社会システム研究会<br>日本開発銀行札幌支店                     | Jul.1998 |
| 49. Fiscal Reconstruction Policy and Free Riding Behavior of Interest Groups  | Toshihiro Ithori & Jun-ichi Itaya               | Aug.1998 |
| 50. Quellen zum Markwesen des Osnabrücker Landes im Niedersächsischen Staatsarchiv Osnabrück(mit Schwerpunkt :Verfassung,Höfing,Siedlung und Konflikten im 17.und 18.Jahrhundert) | Susumu Hirai                                    | Sep.1998 |
| 51. Equity and Continuity with a Continuum of Generations   | Tomoichi Shinotsuka                             | Dec.1998 |
| 52. Public Resources Allocation and Election System   | Akihiko Kawaura                                 | Mar.1999 |
| <b>Discussion Paper Series<br/>Center for Business Creation<br/>Otaru University of Commerce</b>  |   |          |
| 53. 消費者の価格プロモーション反応への影響を考慮した広告効果測定結果モデルの構築  | 奥瀬喜之  | Jun.1999 |
| 54. 地域型ベンチャー支援システムの研究 II-地域型ベンチャー・インキュベーションの設計-   | 小樽商科大学ビジネス創造センター & 日本開発銀行札幌支店                   | Jul.1999 |
| 55. サハリン石油・ガス開発プロジェクトと北海道経済の活性化 第2号   | 北東アジア-サハリン研究会                                   | May 1999 |
| 56. 石鹼洗剤メーカーにおけるマーケティング・チャネル行動の変遷   | 高宮城朝則   | Dec.1999 |
| 57. 長期的取引関係における資源蓄積と展開  | 近藤公彦&坂川裕司                                       | Dec.1999 |
| 58. Exernalities:A Pigovian Tax vs. A Labor Tax   | Ko Sumino                                       | Dec.1999 |
| 59. A New Dimension of Service Quality:An Empirical Study in Japan.   | Makoto Matsuo & Carolus Praet & Yoshiyuki Okuse | Dec.1999 |
| 60. Aftermath of the Flint Sit-Down Strike:Grass-Roots Unionism and African-American Workers, 1937-1939   | Satoshi Takata                                  | Mar.2000 |
| 61. Tariff induced dumping in the intermediate-good market  | Chisato Shibayama                               | Apr.2000 |
| 62. Deregulation, Monitoring and Ownership structure:A Case Study of Japanese Banks   | Akihiko Kawaura                                 | Apr.2000 |
| 63. サハリン石油・ガス開発プロジェクトと北海道経済の活性化 第3号   | 北東アジア-サハリン研究会                                   | Apr.2000 |
| 64. A Cooperative and Competitive Organizational Culture, Innovation, and Performance: An Empirical Study of Japanese Sales Departments   | Makoto Matsuo                                   | May 2000 |
| 65. Foreign Exchange Market Maker's Optimal Spread with Heterogeneous Expectations  | Ryosuke Wada                                    | Jun.2000 |
| 66. ダンピングとダンピング防止法の起源<br>歴史的文脈における「不公正貿易」概念の成立  | 柴山千里  | Oct.2000 |
| 67. The Organizational Learning Process: A Review   | Makoto Matsuo                                   | Dec.2000 |
| 68. The Weak Core of Simple Games with Ordinal Preferences: Implementation in Nash Equilibrium  | Tomoichi Shinotsuka & Koji Takamiya             | Jan.2001 |
| 69. 業態開発におけるイノベーションと競争-ビブレのケース-   | 近藤公彦  | Jan.2001 |
| 70. Budget Distribution Problem   | Tomoichi Shinotsuka                             | Feb.2001 |
| 71. 小売バイヤー組織の機能と顧客対応  | 伊藤 一  | May 2001 |
| 72. The Effect of Intra-Organizational Competition on Knowledge Creation:Case Study of a Japanese Financial Company   | Makoto Matsuo                                   | May 2001 |
| 73. サハリン石油・ガス開発プロジェクトと北海道経済の活性化 第4号   | 北東アジア-サハリン研究会                                   | Mar.2001 |
| 74. The Weak Core of Simple Games with Ordinal Preferences: Implementation in Nash Equilibrium  | Tomoichi Shinotsuka & Koji Takamiya             | Oct.2001 |
| 75. 環境保全型河川計画と景観構築に係る計画技術の研究  | 地域環境問題研究会<br>(代表 八木宏樹)                          | Oct.2001 |
| 76. Additivity, Bounds, and Continuity in Budget Distribution Problem   | Tomoichi Shinotsuka                             | Dec.2001 |

|   |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 77. Monetary Policy in Bhutan: Implications of Indian Rupee Circulation   | Akihiko Kawaura  | Dec.2001 |
| 78. Optimal Multiobject Auctions with Correlated Types  | Tomoichi Shinotsuka & Simon Wilkie                     | Feb.2002 |
| 79. サハリン石油・ガス開発プロジェクトと北海道経済の活性化 第5号   | 北東アジア・サハリン研究会  | Mar.2002 |
| 80. The Case Study of Retail Buying Organization in Japanese Context  | Hajime Itoh  | Mar.2002 |
| 81. 宿泊業のサービスのサービス構成要素に関する重要度調査法に関しての一考察 北海道への台湾人観光客の事例を中心に  | 稲葉由之&沈潔如&伊藤 一  | Feb.2003 |
| 82. ブティック経営における販売要素の分析 -AHPによる経営者・販売員間における重要度認識比較に関する一考察-   | 伊藤 一&橋詰敦樹  | Mar.2003 |
| 83. 温泉地に対するイメージギャップに関する調査   | 伊藤 一   | Mar.2003 |
| 84. Literature Review on Retail Buyer from Research on Industrial Purchasing  | Hajime Itoh  |          |
| 85. The Comparison Study on Retail Buyer Behaviour between UK, Australia and Japan                                  | Hajime Itoh  |          |
| 86. 社会科学研究の基礎 - 大学院生のための研究法 -   | ダン・レメニイ他著<br>抄訳 稲葉由之&奥瀬善之<br>&近藤公彦&玉井健一<br>&高宮城朝則&松尾 睦 | Mar.2002 |
| 87. マーケティング行為からみた小売業による需要創造 - 明治期呉服店の経営行為を考察対象として -   | 坂川裕司   | May 2002 |
| 88. Interdependent Utility Functions in an Intergenerational Context  | Tomoichi Shinotsuka                                    | May 2002 |
| 89. Internal and External Views of the Corporate Reputation in the Japanese Hotel Industry                          | Hajime Itoh  | Feb.2003 |
| 90. サハリン石油・ガス開発プロジェクトと北海道経済の活性化 第6号   | 北東アジア・サハリン研究会  | Mar.2003 |
| 91. 小売購買行動研究に関する展望 - 「買い手視点」での小売購買行動研究に向けて -  | 坂川裕司   | May 2003 |
| 92. 商品購買における「情報システムの逆機能」 - リスク回避的バイヤーにみる合理性とその弊害 -  | 坂川裕司   | Sep.2003 |
| 93. An Experiment of Round-Robin Tournament by Excel's Macro - Using 160 Students' Data from Cournot Duopoly Game - | Masaru Uzawa   | Apr.2004 |
| 94. Earnings Management through Deferred Tax Assets - In Case of Banking Company -                                  | Hiroshi Onuma  | Jun.2004 |
| 97. Competition between Matching Markets  | Koji Yokota  | May 2005 |
| 98. On the role of asymmetric information in the aggregate matching function  | Koji Yokota  | Apr.2006 |
| 99. A note on Optimal Taxation in the Presence of Externalities   | Tomoichi Shinotsuka & Ko Sumino                        | Feb.2005 |
| 100. A Note on Jones' Model of Growth   | Mutsuhiro Kato   | Mar.2005 |
| 101. 整数ナップサック問題が多項式時間で解ける特殊な場合を定める条件について  | 飯田浩志   | Jul.2005 |
| 102. IT技術者の熟達化と経験学習   | 松尾 睦   | Sep.2005 |
| 103. Product De-listing by Retail Buyers: Relational Antecedents and Consequences                                   | Gary Davies & Hajime Itoh                              | Dec.2005 |
| 104. 米国地域経営史における多文化主義的発展 - 1930年代ミシガン州プリントにおけるアフリカ系コミュニティの起業基盤を中心に -  | 高田 聡   | May 2006 |
| 105. 環境便益を反映させた環境指標の開発 Developing an environmental indicator including environmental benefits                       | 山本 充   | Apr.2006 |
| 106. A Critical Investigation of Long-run Properties of Endogenous Growth Models                                    | Mutsuhiro Kato   | May 2006 |
| 107. What is National Income in Jones' Model of Growth? : An Expository Annotation                                  | Mutsuhiro Kato   | Jun.2006 |
| 108. A Further Analysis of the Consumer Behavior in Jones' R&D-Based Model of Economic Growth                       | Mutsuhiro Kato   | Aug.2006 |
| 109. 看護師の経験学習プロセス   | 松尾 睦<br>& 正岡経子 & 吉田真奈美<br>& 丸山知子 & 荒木奈緒                | Feb.2007 |
| 110. Comments on knapsack problems with a penalty   | Iida Hiroshi   | Mar.2007 |
| 111. 看護師の経験学習に関する記述的分析  | 松尾 睦<br>& 正岡経子 & 吉田真奈美<br>& 丸山知子 & 荒木奈緒                | Jul.2007 |
| 112. 頂点被覆へのリスト減少法の解析に関する一考察   | 飯田浩志   | Dec.2007 |
| 113. 小中学校における校長の経営観 - 探索的分析 -   | 松尾 睦   | Dec.2007 |
| 114. インタビュー調査: 戦後復興期大阪における自転車部品製造業者・問屋の経営活動   | 田中幹大   | Apr.2008 |
| 115. Partitionのある風景   | 飯田浩志   | Jun.2008 |
| 116. Multiproduct Firms and Dumping   | Chisato Shibayama & Yasunori Ishii                     | Jul.2008 |
| 117. モスクワの低層住宅団地開発 - 2つのケース -   | 小田福男   | Mar.2009 |
| 118. 整数ナップサックの周期性について   | 飯田浩志   | Mar.2009 |

|      |   |                                    |          |
|------|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| 119. | Discussion paper series no.118への補遺  | 飯田浩志                               | Jul.2009 |
| 120. | 環境フィードバック効果を考慮したSandmoモデルによる二重配当仮説の再考察  | 角野 浩                               | Jul.2009 |
| 121. | 部分線形モデルの差分推定量の漸近理論  | 劉 慶豊                               | Oct.2009 |
| 122. | モデル平均理論の新展開   | 劉 慶豊                               | Oct.2009 |
| 123. | Production Theory with Convex Labor Friction: Foundation of an Optimal Non-market-clearing Economy  | Koji Yokota                        | Dec.2009 |
| 124. | 19世紀ドイツの農村ゲマインデ制と政治参加資格<br>---北西ドイツ・ハノーファーを中心に----  | 平井 進                               | Feb.2010 |
| 125. | 環境経営と企業業績に関する実証研究（再検討：2003-2008）  | 加賀田和弘                              | Apr.2010 |
| 126. | 「北海道ブランド」の仕入れに関する研究<br>—台湾小売バイヤーの視点から—  | 沈 潔如                               | Apr.2010 |
| 127. | Generalized Cp Model Averaging for Heteroskedastic models   | Qingfeng Liu                       | Oct.2010 |
| 128. | How to solve the collapsing subset-sum problem revisited  | Hiroshi Iida                       | Jan.2011 |
| 129. | 顧客関係のマネジメントの系譜  | 近藤公彦                               | Feb.2011 |
| 130. | An Application of Forecast Combination Methods to Default Risk Prediction   | Qingfeng Liu                       | Feb.2011 |
| 131. | An effect of consumer's earlier decision to purchase a discount ticket  | Ryosuke Ishii & Kuninori Nakagawa  | Feb.2011 |
| 132. | On the Behavior of money flows on the real side and the financial side in Hokkaido prefecture   | Toshiaki Kanzaki                   | Mar.2011 |
| 133. | 星野リゾート—顧客志向の組織マネジメント—   | 乙政佐吉 & 近藤隆史                        | Mar.2011 |
| 134. | （ケース）札幌ビズカフェー地域企業家ネットワークにおける中間主導型組織の役割—   | 加藤敬太                               | Mar.2011 |
| 135. | 二重配当効果の最適課税ルールにしたがった再評価   | 角野 浩                               | Mar.2011 |
| 136. | 18・19世紀前半北海沿岸農村社会の地域役職者：Landschaft Eiderstedt   | 平井 進                               | Mar.2011 |
| 137. | Tax Collecting Efforts and Local Allocation Tax Grants in Japan: The Effect of Administrative Reform Incentive Assessment on Local Tax Collection Rates | Mitsunari Ishida                   | Mar.2011 |
| 138. | The bargaining family with strategic interaction  | Atsue Mizushima & Koichi Futagami  | Mar.2011 |
| 139. | Generalized Cp Model Averaging for Heteroskedastic Models (Revised Version)   | Qingfeng Liu                       | Apr.2011 |
| 140. | Exclusion of agents, virtual surplus and a transversality condition in adverse selection  | Naoki Kojima                       | May 2011 |
| 141. | Implementability by a canonical indirect mechanism of an optimal two-dimensional direct mechanism   | Naoki Kojima                       | Jun.2011 |
| 142. | 18・19世紀前半北西ドイツ北海沿岸地方の領邦官吏と自治組織役職者：Landschaft S?derdithmarschen  | 平井 進                               | Jun.2011 |
| 143. | CRMにおける顧客関係のマネジメント  | 近藤公彦                               | Aug.2011 |
| 144. | 企業家ネットワークによる地域企業のビジネスシステム・イノベーション—サンライ日本プロジェクトの事例分析—  | 加藤敬太                               | Oct.2011 |
| 145. | Observable Actions  | Ryosuke Ishii                      | Nov.2012 |
| 146. | Dumping in Transition Economies and the Effects of Anti-Dumping Policy  | Chisato Shibayama & Yasunori Ishii | Mar.2012 |
| 147. | Time Discount and Convex Hiring Cost  | Koji Yokota                        | May 2012 |
| 148. | Two-dimensional Mechanism Design and Implementability by an Indirect Mechanism  | Naoki Kojima                       | Jun.2012 |
| 149. | 北海道経済と開発のプロセス   | 神崎稔章                               | Dec.2012 |
| 150. | 道内における地域経済の現状について   | 渡久地朝央 & Baljinnyam Maitsetseg      | Dec.2012 |
| 151. | モンゴルにおける資本主義転換後の地域間経済格差に関するパネルデータ分析   | 渡久地朝央 & Baljinnyam Maitsetseg      | Dec.2012 |
| 152. | モンゴルにおける食肉価格の動向に関するパネルデータ分析   | Baljinnyam Maitsetseg & 渡久地朝央      | Dec.2012 |
| 153. | 付加価値率の動向と地方自治体による政策効果の関係について—北海道における製造産業を対象としたパネルデータ分析—   | 渡久地朝央                              | Dec.2012 |
| 154. | CRMにおける組織能力   | 近藤公彦                               | Feb.2013 |
| 155. | 19世紀北西ドイツの農村ゲマインデ制の変革---自治参加資格と家屋・土地保有要件---   | 平井 進                               | Feb.2013 |
| 156. | 北海道における産業クラスターに関する文献資料目録  | 加藤敬太                               | Mar.2013 |
| 157. | トヨタ自動車北海道のマネジメント  | 乙政佐吉                               | Mar.2013 |
| 158. | Mechanism design to the budget constrained buyer: a canonical mechanism approach  | Naoki Kojima                       | May 2013 |
| 159. | First Price Package Auction with Many Traders   | Yasuhiro Shirata                   | Jun.2013 |
| 160. | 整数ナップサックの周期性についてあれこれ  | 飯田浩志                               | Jul.2013 |



|   |  |          |
|---|--|----------|
| 161. Non-cooperative versus Cooperative Family  | Atsue Mizushima<br>& Koichi Futagami                     | Oct.2013 |
| 162. Perverse effects of a ban on child labour in an overlapping generations model                          | Kouki Sugawara<br>& Atsue Mizushima<br>& Koichi Futagami | Oct.2013 |
| 163. Human Infrastructure, Child Labor, and Growth  | Atsue Mizushima  | Oct.2013 |
| 164. 18・19世紀前半北海沿岸農村社会の指導的地域役職者・領邦地方官吏と土地所有：Landschaft Norderdithmarschen                                   | 平井 進   | Mar.2014 |
| 165. ビジネスシステムの形成から見る6次産業化—パイオニアジャパングループの事例分析—   | 笹本香菜<br>& 加藤敬太   | Mar.2014 |
| 166. ナップサック問題への2近似算法について雑感  | 飯田浩志   | Jul.2014 |
| 167. A further addendum to "Some thoughts on the 2-approximation algorithm for knapsack problems: A survey" | Hiroshi Iida   | Nov.2014 |
| 168. ワイン産業における企業家コミュニティ—北海道と山梨県の比較事例研究—   | 長村知幸   | Jan.2015 |
| 169. サハリン住宅建設企業の動向—2013年9月調査に基づいて—  | 小田福男   | Mar.2015 |
| 170. Asymptotic cumulants of some information criteria  | Haruhiko Ogasawara                                       | Apr.2015 |

Discussion Paper Series Department of Economics, Otaru University of Commerce No.1-16 Feb.1985-Oct.1991

Discussion Paper Series Department of Commerce, Otaru University of Commerce No.1-2 Apr.1985-May 1989

Center for Business Creation, National University Corporation Otaru University of Commerce  
3-5-21, Midori, Otaru, Hokkaido 047-8501, Japan Tel +81-134-27-5290 Fax +81-134-27-5293  
E-mail:cbcjimu@office.otaru-uc.ac.jp

国立大学法人小樽商科大学ビジネス創造センター  
〒047-8501 北海道小樽市緑3丁目5番21号 Tel 0134-27-5290 Fax 0134-27-5293  
E-mail:cbcjimu@office.otaru-uc.ac.jp